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Question 1: Serializability and 2PL ............................ [20 points]

(a) Yes/No questions:
   i. [2 points] In Strict 2PL, a transaction does not release any locks until it commits.
      □ Yes □ No
   ii. [2 points] A schedule generated by Strict 2PL will never cause a deadlock.
       □ Yes □ No
   iii. [2 points] A schedule generated by 2PL is always view serializable.
       □ Yes □ No
   iv. [2 points] A conflict serializable schedule will never contain a cycle in its precedence graph.
       □ Yes □ No
   v. [2 points] Every view serializable schedule is conflict serializable.
       □ Yes □ No

(b) Serializability:
    Consider the schedule given below in Table 1. R(·) and W(·) stand for ‘Read’ and ‘Write’, respectively.

+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|   | t₁ | t₂ | t₃ | t₄ | t₅ | t₆ | t₇ | t₈ | t₉ | t₁₀|
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| T₁ |     | W(B) | R(H) | R(I) | W(A) |     | R(K) |     |     |     |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| T₂ | R(A) |     | W(D) | W(E) |     | W(F) |     |     | W(J) |     |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| T₃ |     | R(C) |     | W(G) | R(D) | W(H) | R(B) | R(E) | R(G) |     |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

Table 1: A schedule with 3 transactions

i. [1 point] Is this schedule serial?
   □ Yes □ No

ii. [3 points] Give the dependency graph of this schedule. List each edge in the dependency graph like this: ‘Tₓ → Tᵧ because of Z’. This notation signifies that Tₓ precedes Tᵧ because Z was last read/written by Tₓ before it was read/written by Tᵧ. Order the edges in ascending order with respect to x.

   ........................................................................................................................................
   ........................................................................................................................................
   ........................................................................................................................................
   ........................................................................................................................................
   ........................................................................................................................................

iii. [1 point] Is this schedule conflict serializable?
   □ Yes □ No

iv. [3 points] If you answer “yes” to (iii), provide the equivalent serial schedule. If you answer “no”, briefly explain why.

   ........................................................................................................................................
v. [2 points] Is this schedule possible under 2PL?

□ Yes □ No
Question 2: Deadlock Detection and Prevention ................. [30 points]

(a) Deadlock Detection:
Consider the following lock requests in Table 2. And note that
- \( S(\cdot) \) and \( X(\cdot) \) stand for ‘shared lock’ and ‘exclusive lock’, respectively.
- \( T_1, T_2, \) and \( T_3 \) represent three transactions.
- \( LM \) stands for ‘lock manager’.
- Transactions will never release a granted lock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time</th>
<th>( t_1 )</th>
<th>( t_2 )</th>
<th>( t_3 )</th>
<th>( t_4 )</th>
<th>( t_5 )</th>
<th>( t_6 )</th>
<th>( t_7 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T_1 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( X(A) )</td>
<td>( S(B) )</td>
<td>( S(C) )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_2 )</td>
<td>( S(B) )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_3 )</td>
<td></td>
<td>( X(C) )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( X(B) )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( LM )</td>
<td>( g )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Lock requests of three transactions

i. [3 points] For the lock requests in Table 2, determine which lock will be granted or blocked by the lock manager. Please write ‘\( g \)’ in the LM row to indicate the lock is granted and ‘\( b \)’ to indicate the lock is blocked. For example, in the table, the first lock \( (S(D) \text{ at time } t_1) \) is marked as granted.

ii. [4 points] Give the wait-for graph for the lock requests in Table 2. List each edge in the graph like this: \( T_x \to T_y \) because of \( Z \) (i.e., \( T_x \) is waiting for \( T_y \) to release its lock on resource \( Z \)). Order the edges in ascending order with respect to \( x \).

iii. [3 points] Determine whether there exists a deadlock in the lock requests in Table 2, and briefly explain why.

(b) Deadlock Prevention:
Consider the following lock requests in Table 3.
Like before,
• S(·) and X(·) stand for ‘shared lock’ and ‘exclusive lock’, respectively.
• T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, and T₅ represent five transactions.
• LM represents a ‘lock manager’.
• Transactions will never release a granted lock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time</th>
<th>t₁</th>
<th>t₂</th>
<th>t₃</th>
<th>t₄</th>
<th>t₅</th>
<th>t₆</th>
<th>t₇</th>
<th>t₈</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td></td>
<td>S(B)</td>
<td>X(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S(B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X(C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Lock requests of four transactions

i. **[3 points]** For the lock requests in Table 3, determine which lock request will be granted, blocked or aborted by the lock manager (LM), if it has no deadlock prevention policy. Please write ‘g’ for grant, ‘b’ for block, ‘a’ for abort, and ‘—’ if the transaction has already died. Again, example is given in the first column.

ii. **[4 points]** Give the wait-for graph for the lock requests in Table 3. List each edge in the graph like this: Tₓ → Tᵧ because of Z (i.e., Tₓ is waiting for Tᵧ to release its lock on resource Z). Order the edges in ascending order with respect to x.

iii. **[3 points]** Determine whether there exists a deadlock in the lock requests in Table 3, and briefly explain why.

iv. **[5 points]** To prevent deadlock, we use the lock manager (LM) that adopts the Wait-Die policy. We assume that in terms of priority: T₁ > T₂ > T₃ > T₄. Here, T₁ > T₂ because T₁ is older than T₂ (i.e., older transactions have higher priority).

Question 2 continues...
Determine whether the lock request is granted (‘g’), blocked (‘b’), aborted (‘a’), or already dead (‘-’). Follow the same format as the previous question.

........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................

v. [5 points] Now we use the lock manager (LM) that adopts the Wound-Wait policy. We assume that in terms of priority: \( T_1 > T_2 > T_3 > T_4 \). Here, \( T_1 > T_2 \) because \( T_1 \) is older than \( T_2 \) (i.e., older transactions have higher priority). Determine whether the lock request is granted (‘g’), blocked (‘b’), aborted (‘a’), or already dead (‘-’). Follow the same format as the previous question.

........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................

Homework 4 continues...
Question 3: Hierarchical Locking ................................. [30 points]
Consider a database (D) consisting of two tables, Cars (C) and Authors (A). Specifically,

- Cars(cid, aid, make, model, year, review), spans 500 pages, namely \( C_1 \) to \( C_{500} \)
- Authors(aid, first_name, last_name), spans 20 pages, namely \( A_1 \) to \( A_{20} \)

Further, each page contains 100 records, and we use the notation \( C_3 : 20 \) to represent the 20\(^{th}\) record on the third page of the Cars table. Similarly, \( A_5 : 10 \) represents the 10\(^{th}\) record on the fifth page of the Authors table.

We use Multiple-granularity locking, with \( S, X, IS, IX \) and \( SIX \) locks, and four levels of granularity: (1) database-level (D), (2) table-level (A, C), (3) page-level (\( A_1 - A_{20}, C_1 - C_{500} \)), (4) record-level (\( A_1 : 1 - A_{20} : 100, C_1 : 1 - C_{500} : 100 \)).

For each of the following operations on the database, please determine the sequence of lock requests that should be generated by a transaction that wants to efficiently carry out these operations by maximizing concurrency.

Please follow the format of the examples listed below:

- write “IS(D)” for a request of database-level IS lock
- write “X(\( C_2 : 30 \))” for a request of record-level X lock for the 30\(^{th}\) record on the second page of the Cars table
- write “S(\( C_2 : 30 - C_3 : 100 \))” for a request of record-level S lock from the 30\(^{th}\) record on the second page of the Cars table to the 100\(^{th}\) record on the third page of the Cars table.

(a) [6 points] Fetch the 5\(^{th}\) record on page \( A_{15} \).

(b) [6 points] Scan all the records on pages \( C_1 \) through \( C_{10} \), and modify the record \( C_9 : 3 \).

(c) [6 points] Count the number of cars with ‘year’ > 1999.

(d) [6 points] Increase the year of all cars by 1.

(e) [6 points] Capitalize the ‘first_name’ of ALL authors and capitalize the make of ALL cars.
Question 4: Optimistic Concurrency Control ................. [20 points]

Consider the following set of transactions accessing a database with object A. The questions below assume that the transaction manager is using optimistic concurrency control (OCC). Assume that a transaction switches from the READ phase immediately into the VALIDATION phase after its last operation executes. Note: VALIDATION may or may not succeed for each transaction. If it succeeds, then the transaction will immediately switch into the WRITE phase. You can assume that the DBMS is using the serial validation protocol discussed in class where only one transaction can be in the validation phase at a time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time</th>
<th>$T_1$</th>
<th>$T_2$</th>
<th>$T_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>READ(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>WRITE(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>VALIDATE/WRITE?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE(A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>VALIDATE/WRITE?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: An execution schedule

(a) [8 points] The result of this execution is the following. Mark all that apply:
- [ ] T1 aborts
- [ ] T2 aborts
- [ ] T3 aborts
- [ ] None of the transactions abort

(b) [6 points] In general, transactions can suffer from dirty reads in OCC.
- [ ] Always
- [ ] Sometimes
- [ ] Never

(c) [6 points] In general, transactions can suffer from unrepeatable reads in OCC.
- [ ] Always
- [ ] Sometimes
- [ ] Never

End of Homework 4