IMPORTANT:
- Upload this PDF with your answers to Gradescope by 11:59pm on Wednesday Nov 13, 2019.
- Plagiarism: Homework may be discussed with other students, but all homework is to be completed individually.
- You have to use this PDF for all of your answers.

For your information:
- Graded out of 100 points; 4 questions total
- Rough time estimate: $\approx 1 - 2$ hours ($0.5 - 1$ hours for each question)
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<th>Points</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadlock Detection and Prevention</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierarchical Locking</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimistic Concurrency Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td>Total:</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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</table>

Number of Days this Assignment is Late:

Number of Late Day You Have Left:
Question 1: Serializability and 2PL.................................[20 points]

(a) Yes/No questions:
   i.  [2 points] Schedules under rigorous 2PL will not have dirty reads.
       ■ Yes □ No
   ii. [2 points] A schedule generated by rigorous 2PL will never cause a deadlock.
        □ Yes  ■ No
   iii. [2 points] A schedule generated by 2PL is always view serializable.
        ■ Yes □ No
   iv.  [2 points] A conflict serializable schedule will never contain a cycle in its precedence graph.
        ■ Yes □ No
   v.   [2 points] Every view serializable schedule is conflict serializable.
        □ Yes  ■ No

Grading info: -2 for each incorrect answer

(b) Serializability:
Consider the schedule given below in Table 1. R(·) and W(·) stand for ‘Read’ and ‘Write’, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$t_1$</td>
<td>$t_2$</td>
<td>$t_3$</td>
<td>$t_4$</td>
<td>$t_5$</td>
<td>$t_6$</td>
<td>$t_7$</td>
<td>$t_8$</td>
<td>$t_9$</td>
<td>$t_{10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>R(A)</td>
<td>W(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R(B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>R(C)</td>
<td>R(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td>W(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td>W(C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3$</td>
<td>R(B)</td>
<td></td>
<td>W(B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: A schedule with 3 transactions

i.  [1 point] Is this schedule serial?
    □ Yes  ■ No

Grading info: -1 for incorrect answer

ii. [3 points] Give the dependency graph of this schedule. List each edge in the dependency graph like this: ‘$T_x \rightarrow T_y$ because of Z’. This notation signifies that $T_x$ precedes $T_y$ because Z was last read/written by $T_x$ before it was read/written by $T_y$. Order the edges in ascending order with respect to $x$.

Solution:

• $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ because of A
• $T_1 \rightarrow T_3$ because of A
• $T_2 \rightarrow T_3$ because of A
• $T_3 \rightarrow T_1$ because of B

Grading info: -1 for each missing/incorrect edge.

iii. [1 point] Is this schedule conflict serializable?
    □ Yes  ■ No

Question 1 continues…
iv. **[3 points]** If you answer “yes” to (iii), provide the equivalent serial schedule. If you answer “no”, briefly explain why.

**Solution:** The schedule is not serializable because there are two cycles in the dependency graph ($T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow T_3 \rightarrow T_1$ and $T_1 \rightarrow T_3 \rightarrow T_1$).

*Grading info: -1 for incorrect answer*

v. **[2 points]** Is this schedule possible under 2PL?

☐ Yes  ■ No

*Grading info: -2 for incorrect answer*
Question 2: Deadlock Detection and Prevention................[30 points]

(a) Deadlock Detection:
Consider the following lock requests in Table 2. And note that

- S(·) and X(·) stand for ‘shared lock’ and ‘exclusive lock’, respectively.
- T1, T2, and T3 represent three transactions.
- LM stands for ‘lock manager’.
- Transactions will never release a granted lock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time</th>
<th>t1</th>
<th>t2</th>
<th>t3</th>
<th>t4</th>
<th>t5</th>
<th>t6</th>
<th>t7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>S(B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td>X(C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Lock requests of three transactions

i. [3 points] For the lock requests in Table 2, determine which lock will be granted or blocked by the lock manager. Please write ‘g’ in the LM row to indicate the lock is granted and ‘b’ to indicate the lock is blocked or the transaction has already been blocked by a former lock request. For example, in the table, the first lock (S(D) at time t1) is marked as granted.

Solution:
- X(C) at t2: g
- S(A) at t3: g
- S(B) at t4: g
- X(A) at t5: b
- X(B) at t6: b
- S(C) at t7: b

Grading info: Half points for one mistake in the schedule, no points for > 1 mistake.

ii. [4 points] Give the wait-for graph for the lock requests in Table 2. List each edge in the graph like this: Tx → Ty because of Z (i.e., Tx is waiting for Ty to release its lock on resource Z). Order the edges in ascending order with respect to x.

Solution:
- T1 → T3 because of C
- T2 → T1 because of A
• $T_3 \rightarrow T_1$ because of $B$
• $T_3 \rightarrow T_2$ because of $B$

Grading info: Half points for 1 missing directed edge, no points if missing > 1.

iii. [3 points] Determine whether there exists a deadlock in the lock requests in Table 2. If there is a deadlock, point out one cycle.

Solution: Deadlock exists because there is a cycle $(T_1 \rightarrow T_3 \rightarrow T_1)$ in the dependency graph.
OR: Deadlock exists because there is a cycle $(T_1 \rightarrow T_3 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow T_1)$ in the dependency graph.

Grading info: −2 points for not explaining why there is a deadlock

(b) Deadlock Prevention:
Consider the following lock requests in Table 3.
Like before,
• S(·) and X(·) stand for ‘shared lock’ and ‘exclusive lock’, respectively.
• $T_1$, $T_2$, $T_3$, $T_4$, and $T_5$ represent five transactions.
• $LM$ represents a ‘lock manager’.
• Transactions will never release a granted lock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time</th>
<th>$t_1$</th>
<th>$t_2$</th>
<th>$t_3$</th>
<th>$t_4$</th>
<th>$t_5$</th>
<th>$t_6$</th>
<th>$t_7$</th>
<th>$t_8$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>X(B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>X(D)</td>
<td>X(C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td>S(C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LM$</td>
<td>g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Lock requests of four transactions

i. [3 points] For the lock requests in Table 3, determine which lock request will be granted, blocked or aborted by the lock manager ($LM$), if it has no deadlock prevention policy. Please write ‘g’ for grant, ‘b’ for block (or the transaction is already blocked), ‘a’ for abort, and ‘−’ if the transaction has already died. Again, example is given in the first column.

Solution:
• X(A) at $t_2$: g
• S(C) at $t_3$: g
• S(A) at $t_4$: b
• X(D) at $t_5$: g
• X(C) at $t_6$: b
• X(B) at $t_7$: b
• S(D) at $t_8$: b

Grading info: Half points for one mistake in the schedule, no points for > 1 mistake.

ii. [4 points] Give the wait-for graph for the lock requests in Table 3. List each edge in the graph like this: $T_x \rightarrow T_y$ because of Z (i.e., $T_x$ is waiting for $T_y$ to release its lock on resource Z). Order the edges in ascending order with respect to $x$. If a lock request is not proposed because the transaction is blocked before making that lock request, you can ignore that lock request, as if it does not exist.

Solution:
• $T_1 \rightarrow T_4$ because of A
• $T_2 \rightarrow T_3$ because of C
• $T_3 \rightarrow T_1$ because of B
• $T_4 \rightarrow T_2$ because of D

Grading info: Half points for 1 missing directed edge, no points if missing > 1.

iii. [3 points] Determine whether there exists a deadlock in the lock requests in Table 3. If there is a deadlock, point out one cycle.

Solution: Deadlock exists because there is a cycle ($T_1 \rightarrow T_4 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow T_3 \rightarrow T_1$) in the dependency graph.

Grading info: −2 points for not explaining why there is a deadlock

iv. [5 points] To prevent deadlock, we use the lock manager (LM) that adopts the Wait-Die policy. We assume that in terms of priority: $T_1 > T_2 > T_3 > T_4$. Here, $T_1 > T_2$ because $T_1$ is older than $T_2$ (i.e., older transactions have higher priority).

Determine whether the lock request is granted (‘g’), blocked (‘b’), aborted (‘a’), or already dead (‘-’). Follow the same format as the previous question.

Solution:
• X(A) at $t_2$: g
• S(C) at $t_3$: g
• S(A) at $t_4$: b ($T_1$ has higher priority)
• X(D) at $t_5$: g

Question 2 continues...
• X(C) at \( t_6 \): b (\( T_2 \) has higher priority)
• X(B) at \( t_7 \): a (\( T_3 \) aborts because \( T_1 \) is holding B)
• S(D) at \( t_8 \): a (\( T_4 \) aborts because \( T_2 \) is waiting for the lock)

_Grading info:_ −2 points for one mistake in the schedule, no points > 1 mistake.

v. **[5 points]** Now we use the lock manager (LM) that adopts the Wound-Wait policy. We assume that in terms of priority: \( T_1 > T_2 > T_3 > T_4 \). Here, \( T_1 > T_2 \) because \( T_1 \) is older than \( T_2 \) (i.e., older transactions have higher priority). _Determine whether the lock request is granted (‘g’), blocked (‘b’), granted by aborting another transaction (‘a’), or the requester is already dead(‘-’)._ Follow the same format as the previous question.

_Solution:_
• X(A) at \( t_2 \): g
• S(C) at \( t_3 \): g
• S(A) at \( t_4 \): a (\( T_1 \) wounds \( T_4 \))
• X(D) at \( t_5 \): g
• X(C) at \( t_6 \): a (\( T_2 \) wounds \( T_3 \))
• X(B) at \( t_7 \): − (\( T_3 \) is already dead)
• S(D) at \( t_8 \): − (\( T_4 \) is already dead)

_Grading info:_ −2 points for one mistake in the schedule, no points > 1 mistake.

Homework #4 continues…
Question 3: Hierarchical Locking .................................. [30 points]
Consider a database (D) consisting of two tables, Tablets (T) and CPUs (C). Specifically,

• Tablets(tid, brand, CPU_id, OS, year, sales), spans 1000 pages, namely T1 to T1000
• CPUs(CPU_id, brand, frequency, cache), spans 50 pages, namely C1 to C50

Further, each page contains 100 records, and we use the notation T3 : 20 to represent the 20th record on the third page of the Tablets table. Similarly, C5 : 10 represents the 10th record on the fifth page of the CPUs table.

We use Multiple-granularity locking, with S, X, IS, IX and SIX locks, and four levels of granularity: (1) database-level (D), (2) table-level (T, C), (3) page-level (T1−T1000, C1−C50), (4) record-level (T1 : 1−T1000 : 100, C1 : 1−C50 : 100).

For each of the following operations on the database, please determine the sequence of lock requests that should be generated by a transaction that wants to efficiently carry out these operations by maximizing concurrency. Please use the fewest number of locks in your answer. If you use much more locks than necessary (more than 10x), you will get half points.

Please follow the format of the examples listed below:

• write “IS(D)” for a request of database-level IS lock
• write “X(C2 : 30)” for a request of record-level X lock for the 30th record on the second page of the CPUs table
• write “S(C2 : 30 − C3 : 100)” for a request of record-level S lock from the 30th record on the second page of the CPUs table to the 100th record on the third page of the CPUs table.

(a) [6 points] Fetch the 25th record on page T233.

Solution: IS(D), IS(A), IS(T233), S(T233 : 25)
Grading info: −2 for each missing/incorrect mistake, −3 for correct but too many locks

(b) [6 points] Scan all the records on pages T1 through T10, and modify the record T2 : 33.

Solution: IX(D), SIX(T), IX(T2), X(T2 : 33);
also acceptable: IX(D), IX(T), S(T1), S(T3−T10), SIX(T2), X(T2 : 33)
Grading info: −2 for each missing/incorrect mistake, −3 for correct but too many locks

(c) [6 points] Count the number of tablets with ‘year’ > 2014.

Solution: IS(D), S(T);
Grading info: −2 for each missing/incorrect mistake, −3 for correct but too many locks

(d) [6 points] Increase the sales of all tablets by 114514.

Question 3 continues...
Solution: IX(D), X(T)

Grading info: −2 for each missing/incorrect mistake, −3 for correct but too many locks

(e) [6 points] Capitalize the ‘brand’ of ALL tablets and ALL CPUs.

Solution: X(D)
Also acceptable: IX(D), X(T), X(C)

Grading info: −2 for each missing/incorrect mistake, −3 for correct but too many locks
Question 4: Optimistic Concurrency Control ................. [20 points]

Consider the following set of transactions accessing a database with object A, B, C, D. The questions below assume that the transaction manager is using optimistic concurrency control (OCC). Assume that a transaction switches from the READ phase immediately into the VALIDATION phase after its last operation executes.

Note: VALIDATION may or may not succeed for each transaction. If validation fails, the transaction will get immediately aborted.

You can assume that the DBMS is using the serial validation protocol discussed in class where only one transaction can be in the validation phase at a time, and each transaction is doing forward validation (i.e. Each transaction, when validating, checks whether it intersects its read/write sets with any active transactions that have not yet committed.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time</th>
<th>T₃</th>
<th>T₂</th>
<th>T₁</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>READ(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>READ(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>READ(B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE(B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VALIDATE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>READ(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>READ(B)</td>
<td>WRITE(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE(D)</td>
<td>WRITE(B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE(B)</td>
<td>VALIDATE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: An execution schedule

(a) [6 points] Will T₁ abort?

■ Yes

□ No

Solution: T₁ does not need to abort because it does not write A.

Grading info: Full points if they got it right

(b) [6 points] Will T₂ abort?

■ Yes

□ No

Solution: T₂’s read-set intersects with T₁’s write-set (B), and its write-set intersects with T₃’s read-set (D), so it will fail the VALIDATION phase.

Question 4 continues...
(c) [6 points] Will T3 abort?

- Yes
- No

**Solution:** Although T3’s read-set intersects with T2’s write-set, T2 will get aborted, so T3 does not need to abort.

(d) [2 points] OCC is good to use when there are few conflicts.

- True
- False

**Solution:** From the slides: If the database is large and the workload is not skewed, then there is a low probability of conflict, so locking is wasteful.

*Grading info: Full points if they got it right.*