Homework #3 is due Wed Oct 9th @ 11:59pm

Mid-Term Exam is Wed Oct 16th @ 12:00pm

Project #2 is due Sun Oct 20th @ 11:59pm
The operators are arranged in a tree. Data flows from the leaves of the tree up towards the root. The output of the root node is the result of the query.
TODAY'S AGENDA

Processing Models
Access Methods
Expression Evaluation
A DBMS's **processing model** defines how the system executes a query plan.
→ Different trade-offs for different workloads.

**Approach #1: Iterator Model**
**Approach #2: Materialization Model**
**Approach #3: Vectorized / Batch Model**
ITERATOR MODEL

Each query plan operator implements a **Next** function.
→ On each invocation, the operator returns either a single tuple or a null marker if there are no more tuples.
→ The operator implements a loop that calls next on its children to retrieve their tuples and then process them.

Also called **Volcano** or **Pipeline** Model.
SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R JOIN S
ON R.id = S.id
WHERE S.value > 100
ITERATOR MODEL

1. for t in child.Next():
   emit(projection(t))

   for t1 in left.Next():
     buildHashTable(t1)
   for t2 in right.Next():
     if probe(t2): emit(t1⨝t2)

   for t in child.Next():
     if evalPred(t): emit(t)

   for t in R:
     emit(t)

   for t in S:
     emit(t)

SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R JOIN S
ON R.id = S.id
WHERE S.value > 100
SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R JOIN S
ON R.id = S.id
WHERE S.value > 100

for t in child.Next():
    emit(projection(t))

for t1 in left.Next():
    buildHashTable(t1)
for t2 in right.Next():
    if probe(t2):
        emit(π(t1, t2))

for t in child.Next():
    if evalPred(t):
        emit(t)

for t in R:
    emit(t)

for t in S:
    emit(t)
SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R JOIN S
ON R.id = S.id
WHERE S.value > 100

ITERATOR MODEL

1. for t in child.Next():
   emit(projection(t))

2. for t1 in left.Next():
   buildHashTable(t1)
for t2 in right.Next():
   if probe(t2):
     emit(t1 ⨝ t2)

3. for t in child.Next():
   if evalPred(t):
     emit(t)

Single Tuple

for t in R:
emit(t)

for t in S:
emit(t)
**SELECT** R.id, S.cdate
**FROM** R **JOIN** S
**ON** R.id = S.id
**WHERE** S.value > 100

**ITERATOR MODEL**

1. for \( t \) in \( \text{child}.\text{Next}() \):
   - emit(\( \text{projection}(t) \))

2. for \( t_1 \) in \( \text{left}.\text{Next}() \):
   - buildHashTable(\( t_1 \))
   for \( t_2 \) in \( \text{right}.\text{Next}() \):
     - if \( \text{probe}(t_2) \):
       - emit(\( t_1 \bowtie t_2 \))

3. for \( t \) in \( \text{R} \):
   - emit(\( t \))

4. for \( t \) in \( \text{child}.\text{Next}() \):
   - if \( \text{evalPred}(t) \):
     - emit(\( t \))

5. for \( t \) in \( \text{S} \):
   - emit(\( t \))

---

\[ \pi \]
\( \bowtie \)
\[ \sigma \]

1. **R**.id=S.id
2. value>100

---

CMU 15-445/645 (Fall 2019)
**SELECT** R.id, S.cdate  
**FROM** R **JOIN** S  
**ON** R.id = S.id  
**WHERE** S.value > 100
ITERATOR MODEL

This is used in almost every DBMS. Allows for tuple pipelining.

Some operators have to block until their children emit all of their tuples.
→ Joins, Subqueries, Order By

Output control works easily with this approach.
MATERIALIZATION MODEL

Each operator processes its input all at once and then emits its output all at once. → The operator "materializes" its output as a single result. → The DBMS can push down hints into to avoid scanning too many tuples. → Can send either a materialized row or a single column.

The output can be either whole tuples (NSM) or subsets of columns (DSM)
MATERIALIZATION MODEL

1. 

```python
out = []
for t in child.Output():
    out.add(projection(t))
return out
```

```sql
SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R JOIN S
ON R.id = S.id
WHERE S.value > 100
```

```python
out = []
for t1 in left.Output():
    buildHashTable(t1)
for t2 in right.Output():
    if probe(t2):
        out.add(t1 ⨝ t2)
return out
```

```python
out = []
for t in child.Output():
    if evalPred(t):
        out.add(t)
return out
```

```python
out = []
for t in R:
    out.add(t)
return out
```

```python
out = []
for t in S:
    out.add(t)
return out
```
MATERIALIZATION MODEL

1. out = [ ]
   for t in child.Output():
       out.add(projection(t))
   return out

2. out = [ ]
   for t1 in left.Output():
       buildHashTable(t1)
   for t2 in right.Output():
       if probe(t2): out.add(t1⨝t2)
   return out

3. out = [ ]
   for t in child.Output():
       out.add(t)
   return out

SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R JOIN S
ON R.id = S.id
WHERE S.value > 100

All Tuples
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MATERIALIZATION MODEL

1. `out = []`
   - `for t in child.Output():`
     - `out.add(projection(t))`
   - `return out`

2. `out = []`
   - `for t1 in left.Output():`
     - `buildHashTable(t1)`
   - `for t2 in right.Output():`
     - `if probe(t2):`
       - `out.add(t1⨝t2)`
   - `return out`

3. `out = []`
   - `for t in child.Output():`
     - `out.add(t)`
   - `return out`

4. `out = []`
   - `for t in child.Output():`
     - `if evalPred(t):`
       - `out.add(t)`
   - `return out`

5. `out = []`
   - `for t in S:`
     - `out.add(t)`
   - `return out`

SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R JOIN S
ON R.id = S.id
WHERE S.value > 100
MATERIALIZATION MODEL

1. \[ \text{out} = [ ] \]
   \[ \text{for } t \text{ in child.Output():} \]
   \[ \quad \text{out}.add(\text{projection}(t)) \]
   \[ \text{return out} \]

2. \[ \text{out} = [ ] \]
   \[ \text{for } t_1 \text{ in left.Output():} \]
   \[ \quad \text{buildHashTable}(t_1) \]
   \[ \text{for } t_2 \text{ in right.Output():} \]
   \[ \quad \text{if } \text{probe}(t_2): \]
   \[ \quad \quad \text{out}.add(t_1 \Join t_2) \]
   \[ \text{return out} \]

3. \[ \text{out} = [ ] \]
   \[ \text{for } t \text{ in child.Output():} \]
   \[ \quad \text{out}.add(t) \]
   \[ \text{return out} \]

4. \[ \text{out} = [ ] \]
   \[ \text{for } t \text{ in child.Output():} \]
   \[ \quad \text{if } \text{evalPred}(t): \]
   \[ \quad \quad \text{out}.add(t) \]
   \[ \text{return out} \]

5. \[ \text{out} = [ ] \]
   \[ \text{for } t \text{ in } S: \]
   \[ \quad \text{out}.add(t) \]
   \[ \text{return out} \]

\[
\text{SELECT } \ R.i_d, \ S.cdate \\
\text{FROM } R \text{ JOIN } S \\
\text{ON } R.i_d = S.i_d \\
\text{WHERE } S.value > 100
\]
MATERIALIZATION MODEL

Better for OLTP workloads because queries only access a small number of tuples at a time.  
→ Lower execution / coordination overhead.  
→ Fewer function calls.

Not good for OLAP queries with large intermediate results.
VECTORIZATION MODEL

Like the Iterator Model where each operator implements a `Next` function in this model.

Each operator emits a `batch` of tuples instead of a single tuple.

→ The operator's internal loop processes multiple tuples at a time.

→ The size of the batch can vary based on hardware or query properties.
VECTORIZATION MODEL

1.
```python
out = []
for t in child.Next():
    out.add(projection(t))
    if |out| > n: emit(out)
```

2.
```python
out = []
for t1 in left.Next():
    buildHashTable(t1)
for t2 in right.Next():
    if probe(t2): out.add(t1 ⊗ t2)
    if |out| > n: emit(out)
```

3.
```python
out = []
for t in child.Next():
    if evalPred(t): out.add(t)
    if |out| > n: emit(out)
```

SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R JOIN S
ON R.id = S.id
WHERE S.value > 100

Tuple Batch

π R.id, S.value

R.id=S.id

σ value>100
SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R JOIN S
ON R.id = S.id
WHERE S.value > 100

Tuple Batch
VECTORIZATION MODEL

Ideal for OLAP queries because it greatly reduces the number of invocations per operator.
Allows for operators to use vectorized (SIMD) instructions to process batches of tuples.
PLAN PROCESSING DIRECTION

Approach #1: Top-to-Bottom
→ Start with the root and "pull" data up from its children.
→ Tuples are always passed with function calls.

Approach #2: Bottom-to-Top
→ Start with leaf nodes and push data to their parents.
→ Allows for tighter control of caches/registers in pipelines.
An access method is a way that the DBMS can access the data stored in a table.
→ Not defined in relational algebra.

Three basic approaches:
→ Sequential Scan
→ Index Scan
→ Multi-Index / "Bitmap" Scan

\[
\text{SELECT } R.\text{id}, S.\text{cdate} \\
\text{FROM } R \text{ JOIN } S \\
\text{ON } R.\text{id} = S.\text{id} \\
\text{WHERE } S.\text{value} > 100
\]
SEQUENTIAL SCAN

For each page in the table:
→ Retrieve it from the buffer pool.
→ Iterate over each tuple and check whether to include it.

The DBMS maintains an internal cursor that tracks the last page / slot it examined.

```python
for page in table.pages:
    for t in page.tuples:
        if evalPred(t):
            // Do Something!
```
SEQUENTIAL SCAN: OPTIMIZATIONS

This is almost always the worst thing that the DBMS can do to execute a query.

Sequential Scan Optimizations:
→ Prefetching
→ Buffer Pool Bypass
→ Parallelization
→ Zone Maps
→ Late Materialization
→ Heap Clustering
ZONE MAPS

Pre-computed aggregates for the attribute values in a page. DBMS checks the zone map first to decide whether it wants to access the page.

Original Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>val</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Zone Map

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>val</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVG</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUM</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNT</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SELECT * FROM table
WHERE val > 600
LATE MATERIALIZATION

DSM DBMSs can delay stitching together tuples until the upper parts of the query plan.

```
SELECT AVG(foo.c) FROM foo JOIN bar ON foo.b = bar.b WHERE foo.a > 100
```
LATE MATERIALIZATION

DSM DBMSs can delay stitching together tuples until the upper parts of the query plan.

\[
\text{SELECT } \text{AVG}(\text{foo.c}) \\
\text{FROM foo JOIN bar} \\
\text{ON foo.b = bar.b} \\
\text{WHERE foo.a > 100}
\]
DSM DBMSs can delay stitching together tuples until the upper parts of the query plan.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SELECT} & \quad \text{AVG}(\text{foo.c}) \\
\text{FROM} & \quad \text{foo} \ JOIN \ \text{bar} \\
\text{ON} & \quad \text{foo.b} = \text{bar.b} \\
\text{WHERE} & \quad \text{foo.a} > 100
\end{align*}
\]
Late Materialization

DSM DBMSs can delay stitching together tuples until the upper parts of the query plan.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SELECT} & \quad \text{AVG}(\text{foo.c}) \\
\text{FROM} & \quad \text{foo JOIN} \quad \text{bar} \\
\text{ON} & \quad \text{foo.b} = \text{bar.b} \\
\text{WHERE} & \quad \text{foo.a} > 100
\end{align*}
\]
HEAP CLUSTERING

Tuples are sorted in the heap's pages using the order specified by a clustering index.

If the query accesses tuples using the clustering index's attributes, then the DBMS can jump directly to the pages that it needs.
INDEX SCAN

The DBMS picks an index to find the tuples that the query needs.

Which index to use depends on:
→ What attributes the index contains
→ What attributes the query references
→ The attribute's value domains
→ Predicate composition
→ Whether the index has unique or non-unique keys
Suppose that we a single table with 100 tuples and two indexes:

→ Index #1: age
→ Index #2: dept

**Scenario #1**

There are 99 people under the age of 30 but only 2 people in the CS department.

**Scenario #2**

There are 99 people in the CS department but only 2 people under the age of 30.

```sql
SELECT * FROM students
WHERE age < 30
AND dept = 'CS'
AND country = 'US'
```
MULTI-INDEX SCAN

If there are multiple indexes that the DBMS can use for a query:
→ Compute sets of record ids using each matching index.
→ Combine these sets based on the query's predicates (union vs. intersect).
→ Retrieve the records and apply any remaining predicates.

Postgres calls this **Bitmap Scan**.
MULTI-INDEX SCAN

With an index on `age` and an index on `dept`,
→ We can retrieve the record ids satisfying `age<30` using the first,
→ Then retrieve the record ids satisfying `dept='CS'` using the second,
→ Take their intersection
→ Retrieve records and check `country='US'`.

```
SELECT * FROM students
WHERE age < 30
AND dept = 'CS'
AND country = 'US'
```
MULTI-INDEX SCAN

Set intersection can be done with bitmaps, hash tables, or Bloom filters.

```
SELECT * FROM students
WHERE age < 30
AND dept = 'CS'
AND country = 'US'
```
Set intersection can be done with bitmaps, hash tables, or Bloom filters.

```
SELECT * FROM students
WHERE age < 30
AND dept = 'CS'
AND country = 'US'
```
Set intersection can be done with bitmaps, hash tables, or Bloom filters.

```
SELECT * FROM students
WHERE age < 30
AND dept = 'CS'
AND country = 'US'
```
INDEX SCAN PAGE SORTING

Retrieving tuples in the order that appear in an unclustered index is inefficient.

The DBMS can first figure out all the tuples that it needs and then sort them based on their page id.
INDEX SCAN PAGE SORTING

Retrieving tuples in the order that appear in an unclustered index is inefficient.

The DBMS can first figure out all the tuples that it needs and then sort them based on their page id.
INDEX SCAN PAGE SORTING

Retrieving tuples in the order that appear in an unclustered index is inefficient.

The DBMS can first figure out all the tuples that it needs and then sort them based on their page id.
INDEX SCAN PAGE SORTING

Retrieving tuples in the order that appear in an unclustered index is inefficient.

The DBMS can first figure out all the tuples that it needs and then sort them based on their page id.
The DBMS represents a **WHERE** clause as an **expression tree**.

The nodes in the tree represent different expression types:
- Comparisons (\(=, <, >, !\)\)
- Conjunction (**AND**), Disjunction (**OR**)
- Arithmetic Operators (+, −, *, /, %)
- Constant Values
- Tuple Attribute References
SELECT * FROM S
WHERE B.value = ? + 1
**EXECUTION CONTEXT**

**Query Parameters**
- Table: S
  - Attribute: S.value
  - Constant: 1
  - Parameter: 0

**Table Schema**
- S → (int:id, int:value)

**Current Tuple**
- (123, 1000)

**Query**
- `SELECT * FROM S WHERE B.value = ? + 1`
EXECUTION CONTEXT

**SELECT** * FROM S
WHERE B.value = ? + 1

**Current Tuple**
(123, 1000)
**Query Parameters**
(int:999)
**Table Schema**
S→(int:id, int:value)

**Attribute(S.value)**
**Parameter(0)**
Constant(1)

**Execution Context**

= 
/+
**Execution Context**

```
SELECT * FROM S
WHERE B.value = ? + 1
```

- **Current Tuple**: (123, 1000)
- **Query Parameters**: (int:999)
- **Table Schema**: S→(int:id, int:value)

**Expression Evaluation**

```
Attribute(S.value) = 1000 + Parameter(0) + Constant(1)
```

- `Attribute(S.value)`
- `1000`
- `+`
- `Parameter(0)`
- `Constant(1)`
**EXECUTION CONTEXT**

**Statement**: 
```
SELECT * FROM S
WHERE B.value = ? + 1
```

**Current Tuple**: (123, 1000)

**Query Parameters**: (int:999)

**Table Schema**: S→(int:id, int:value)

**Expression Evaluation Diagram**:
- **Attribute(S.value)**
- **Parameter(0)**
- **Constant(1)**
- **1000**
- **+**
- **=**

**Result**: 999
**Execution Context**

**SELECT** * FROM S
WHERE B.value = ? + 1

Current Tuple
(123, 1000)

Query Parameters
(int:999)

Table Schema
S→(int:id, int:value)

Attribute(S.value)

Parameter(0)

Constant(1)

= 1000

+ 999

= 1
**EXECUTION CONTEXT**

**SELECT** * FROM S
WHERE B.value = ? + 1

Current Tuple: (123, 1000)
Query Parameters: (int:999)
Table Schema: S→(int:id, int:value)

Execution Context Diagram:
- Attribute(S.value)
- +
- Parameter(0) → 999
- Constant(1) → 1
- =
- 1000
- 1000

1000
**Expression Evaluation**

**Execution Context**

```
SELECT * FROM S
WHERE B.value = ? + 1
```

- **Current Tuple**: (123, 1000)
- **Query Parameters**: (int:999)
- **Table Schema**: $S \rightarrow (\text{int}: \text{id}, \text{int}: \text{value})$

**Diagram**

- **Attribute**: (S.value)
- **Parameter**: (0)
- **Constant**: (1)
- **Expression**: $1000 + 1000$
- **Result**: $2000$
- **Condition**: $B.value = 999 + 1$

- **Result**: True
Evaluating predicates in this manner is slow.
→ The DBMS traverses the tree and for each node that it visits it must figure out what the operator needs to do.

Consider the predicate "WHERE 1=1"

A better approach is to just evaluate the expression directly.
→ Think JIT compilation
CONCLUSION

The same query plan be executed in multiple ways.

(Most) DBMSs will want to use an index scan as much as possible.

Expression trees are flexible but slow.
NEXT CLASS

Parallel Query Execution