Carnegie Mellon University

Database Recovery





CRASH RECOVERY

Recovery algorithms are techniques to ensure database consistency, transaction atomicity, and durability despite failures.

Recovery algorithms have two parts:

 \rightarrow Actions during normal txn processing to ensure that the DBMS can recover from a failure.

 \rightarrow Actions after a failure to recover the database to a state that ensures atomicity, consistency, and durability.

Today



ARIES

Algorithms for Recovery and Isolation Exploiting Semantics

Developed at IBM Research in early 1990s for the DB2 DBMS.

Not all systems implement ARIES exactly as defined in this paper but they're close enough.

ARIES: A Transaction Recovery Method Supporting Fine-Granularity Locking and Partial Rollbacks Using Write-Ahead Logging

C. MOHAN IBM Almaden Research Center and DON HADERLE IBM Santa Teresa Laboratory and BRUCE LINDSAY, HAMID PIRAHESH and PETER SCHWARZ IBM Almaden Research Center

In this paper we present a simple and efficient method, called ARIES (Algorithm for Recovery and Isolation Exploiting Semantics), which supports partial rollbacks of transactions, finegranularity (e.g., record) locking and recovery using write-ahead logging (WAL). We introduce the paradigm of repeating history to redo all missing updates before performing the rollbacks of the loser transactions during restart after a system failure. ARIES uses a log sequence number in each page to correlate the state of a page with respect to logged updates of that page. All updates of a transaction are logged, including those performed during rollbacks. By appropriate chaining of the log records written during rollbacks to those written during forward progress, a bounded amount of logging is ensured during rollbacks even in the face of repeated failures during restart or of nested rollbacks We deal with a variety of features that are very important in building and operating an industrial strength transaction processing system ARIES supports fuzzy checkpoints, selective and deferred restart, fuzzy image copies, media recovery, and high concurrency lock modes (e.g., increment/decrement) which exploit the semantics of the operations and require the ability to perform operation logging. ARIES is flexible with respect to the kinds of buffer management policies that can be implemented. It supports objects of varying length efficiently. By enabling parallelism during restart, page-oriented redo, and logical undo, it enhances concurrency and performance. We show why some of the System R paradigms for logging and recovery, which were based on the shadow page technique, need to be changed in the context of WAL. We compare ARIES to the WAL-based recovery methods of

Authors' addresses: C Mohan, Data Base Technology Institute, IBM Almaden Research Center, San Jose, CA 95120; D. Haderle, Data Base Technology Institute, IBM Santa Teresa Laboratory, San Jose, CA 95150; B. Lindsay, H. Pirahesh, and P. Schwarz, IBM Almaden Research Center, San Jose, CA 95120.

Permassion to copy without fee all or part of this material is granted provided that the copies are not made or distributed for direct commercial advantage, the ACM copyright notes and the title of the publication and its date appear, and notice is given that copyring is by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery. To copy otherwise, or to republish, requires a fee and/or specific permission.

© 1992 0362-5915/92/0300-0094 \$1.50

ACM Transactions on Database Systems, Vol 17, No. 1, March 1992, Pages 94-162

ARIES - MAIN IDEAS

Write-Ahead Logging:

- \rightarrow Any change is recorded in log on stable storage before the database change is written to disk.
- \rightarrow Must use **STEAL** + **NO-FORCE** buffer pool policies.

Repeating History During Redo:

 \rightarrow On restart, retrace actions and restore database to exact state before crash.

Logging Changes During Undo:

 \rightarrow Record undo actions to log to ensure action is not repeated in the event of repeated failures.



TODAY'S AGENDA

Log Sequence Numbers Normal Commit & Abort Operations Fuzzy Checkpointing Recovery Algorithm



WAL RECORDS

We need to extend our log record format from last class to include additional info.

Every log record now includes a globally unique *log sequence number* (LSN).

Various components in the system keep track of *LSNs* that pertain to them...



LOG SEQUENCE NUMBERS

Name	Where	Definition
flushedLSN	Memory	Last LSN in log on disk
pageLSN	page _x	Newest update to page _x
recLSN	page _x	Oldest update to page _x since it was last flushed
lastLSN	T _i	Latest record of txn T _i
MasterRecord	Disk	LSN of latest checkpoint

WRITING LOG RECORDS


Each data page contains a pageLSN. \rightarrow The *LSN* of the most recent update to that page.

System keeps track of **flushedLSN**. \rightarrow The max *LSN* flushed so far.

Before page x can be written to disk, we must flush log at least to the point where: $\rightarrow pageLSN_x \leq flushedLSN$



WRITING LOG RECORDS



WRITING LOG RECORDS

All log records have an *LSN*.

Update the **pageLSN** every time a txn modifies a record in the page.

Update the **flushedLSN** in memory every time the DBMS writes out the WAL buffer to disk.



NORMAL EXECUTION

Each txn invokes a sequence of reads and writes, followed by commit or abort.

Assumptions in this lecture:

- \rightarrow All log records fit within a single page.
- \rightarrow Disk writes are atomic.
- \rightarrow Single-versioned tuples with Strict 2PL.
- \rightarrow **STEAL** + **NO-FORCE** buffer management with WAL.

TRANSACTION COMMIT

Write **COMMIT** record to log.


All log records up to txn's **COMMIT** record are flushed to disk.

- \rightarrow Note that log flushes are sequential, synchronous writes to disk.
- \rightarrow Many log records per log page.

When the commit succeeds, write a special **TXN**–**END** record to log.

 \rightarrow This does <u>not</u> need to be flushed immediately.

TRANSACTION COMMIT



TRANSACTION ABORT


Aborting a txn is actually a special case of the ARIES undo operation applied to only one transaction.

We need to add another field to our log records:

- \rightarrow **prevLSN**: The previous *LSN* for the txn.
- \rightarrow This maintains a linked-list for each txn that makes it easy to walk through its records.



TRANSACTION ABORT



COMPENSATION LOG RECORDS

A <u>CLR</u> describes the actions taken to undo the actions of a previous update record.

It has all the fields of an update log record plus the **undoNext** pointer (the next-to-be-undone LSN).

CLRs are added to log like any other record.




TRANSACTION ABORT - CLR EXAMPLE

LSN	prevLSN	TxnId	Туре	Object	Before	After	UndoNext
001	nil	T ₁	BEGIN	_	_	_	-
002	001	T ₁	UPDATE	А	30	40	-
011	002	T ₁	ABORT	_	_	_	_

FIME

TRANSACTION ABORT - CLR EXAMPLE





TIME

TRANSACTION ABORT - CLR EXAMPLE

LSN	prevLSN	TxnId	Туре	Object	Before	After	UndoNext
001	nil	T ₁	BEGIN	-	-	_	-
002	001	T ₁	UPDATE	А	30	40	-
•							
011	002	T ₁	ABORT	_	_	_	-
* *							
026	011	T ₁	CLR-002	А	40	30	001
027	026	T ₁	TXN-END	_	_	_	nil



IME

ABORT ALGORITHM

First write an **ABORT** record to log for the txn.

Then play back the txn's updates in reverse order. For each update record:

- \rightarrow Write a **CLR** entry to the log.
- \rightarrow Restore old value.

At end, write a **TXN-END** log record.

Notice: CLRs never need to be undone.

TODAY'S AGENDA

Log Sequence Numbers Normal Commit & Abort Operations Fuzzy Checkpointing Recovery Algorithm



NON-FUZZY CHECKPOINTS


The DBMS halts everything when it takes a checkpoint to ensure a consistent snapshot:

- \rightarrow Halt the start of any new txns.
- \rightarrow Wait until all active txns finish executing.
- \rightarrow Flushes dirty pages on disk.

This is obviously bad...

SLIGHTLY BETTER CHECKPOINTS

- Pause modifying txns while the DBMS takes the checkpoint.
- → Prevent queries from acquiring write latch on table/index pages.
- \rightarrow Don't have to wait until all txns finish before taking the checkpoint.
- We must record internal state as of the beginning of the checkpoint. \rightarrow Active Transaction Table (ATT) \rightarrow Dirty Page Table (DPT)





ACTIVE TRANSACTION TABLE

One entry per currently active txn.

- \rightarrow **txnId**: Unique txn identifier.
- \rightarrow **status**: The current "mode" of the txn.
- \rightarrow **lastLSN**: Most recent *LSN* created by txn.

Entry removed when txn commits or aborts.

- Txn Status Codes:
- \rightarrow **R** \rightarrow Running
- \rightarrow **C** \rightarrow Committing
- \rightarrow **U** \rightarrow Candidate for Undo



DIRTY PAGE TABLE

Keep track of which pages in the buffer pool contain changes from uncommitted transactions.

One entry per dirty page in the buffer pool:
→ recLSN: The LSN of the log record that first caused the page to be dirty.

SLIGHTLY BETTER CHECKPOINTS

At the first checkpoint, T_2 is still running and there are two dirty pages (P_{11}, P_{22}) .

At the second checkpoint, T_3 is active and there are two dirty pages (P_{11} , P_{33}).

This still is not ideal because the DBMS must stall txns during checkpoint...

WAI <T₁ **BEGIN**> <T₂ **BEGIN**> <T₁, A→P₁₁, 100, 120> <T₁ COMMIT> <T₂, C→P₂₂, 100, 120> <CHECKPOINT $ATT=\{T_2\},\$ **DPT={P**₁₁, **P**₂₂}> <T₃ START> <T₂, A→P₁₁, 120, 130> <T₂ **COMMIT**> <T₃, B→P₃₃, 200, 400> <CHECKPOINT $ATT=\{T_3\},\$ **DPT={P**₁₁, **P**₃₃}> <T₃, B→P₃₃, 400, 600≥

2.7



FUZZY CHECKPOINTS

A *fuzzy checkpoint* is where the DBMS allows active txns to continue the run while the system flushes dirty pages to disk.

New log records to track checkpoint boundaries: → CHECKPOINT-BEGIN: Indicates start of checkpoint → CHECKPOINT-END: Contains ATT + DPT.



FUZZY CHECKPOINT

The *LSN* of the CHECKPOINT-BEGIN record is written to the database's MasterRecord entry on disk when the checkpoint successfully completes.

Any txn that starts <u>after</u> the checkpoint is excluded from the ATT in the **CHECKPOINT-END** record.

WAI <T₁ **BEGIN**> <T₂ **BEGIN**> <T₁, A→P₁₁, 100, 120> <T₁ COMMIT> <T₂, C→P₂₂, 100, 120> <CHECKPOINT-BEGIN> <T₃ **START**> A→P₁₁, 120, 130> <CHECKPOINT-END $ATT = \{T_{n}\}$. $DPT = \{P_{11}\} >$ <T₃, B→P₃₃, 200, 400> <CHECKPOINT-BEGIN> <T₃, B→P₃₃, 10, 12> <CHECKPOINT-END ATT= $\{T_3\}$, $DPT=\{P_{33}\}>$

ARIES - RECOVERY PHASES

Phase #1 – Analysis

 \rightarrow Read WAL from last checkpoint to identify dirty pages in the buffer pool and active txns at the time of the crash.

Phase #2 – Redo

→ Repeat <u>all</u> actions starting from an appropriate point in the log (even txns that will abort).

Phase #3 – Undo


 \rightarrow Reverse the actions of txns that did not commit before the crash.



ARIES - OVERVIEW

Start from last **BEGIN-CHECKPOINT** found via **MasterRecord**.

<u>Analysis:</u> Figure out which txns committed or failed since checkpoint. <u>Redo:</u> Repeat <u>all</u> actions. <u>Undo:</u> Reverse effects of failed txns.



ANALYSIS PHASE

Scan log forward from last successful checkpoint. If you find a **TXN–END** record, remove its corresponding txn from **ATT**.

All other records:

- \rightarrow Add txn to **ATT** with status **UNDO**.
- \rightarrow On commit, change txn status to **COMMIT**.

For **UPDATE** records:

 \rightarrow If page **P** not in **DPT**, add **P** to **DPT**, set its **recLSN=LSN**.

32


ANALYSIS PHASE

At end of the Analysis Phase:

- \rightarrow **ATT** tells the DBMS which txns were active at time of crash.
- \rightarrow **DPT** tells the DBMS which dirty pages might not have made it to disk.



ANALYSIS PHASE EXAMPLE





REDO PHASE

The goal is to repeat history to reconstruct state at the moment of the crash:

 \rightarrow Reapply all updates (even aborted txns!) and redo **CLRs**.

There techniques that allow the DBMS to avoid unnecessary reads/writes, but we will ignore that in this lecture...



REDO PHASE

Scan forward from the log record containing smallest **recLSN** in **DPT**.

For each update log record or *CLR* with a given *LSN*, redo the action unless:

- \rightarrow Affected page is not in **DPT**, <u>or</u>
- \rightarrow Affected page is in **DPT** but that record's *LSN* is less than the page's **recLSN**.

REDO PHASE

To redo an action:

- \rightarrow Reapply logged action.
- \rightarrow Set **pageLSN** to log record's *LSN*.
- \rightarrow No additional logging, no forced flushes!

At the end of Redo Phase, write **TXN-END** log records for all txns with status **C** and remove them from the **ATT**.



UNDO PHASE


Undo all txns that were active at the time of crash and therefore will never commit.

 \rightarrow These are all the txns with **U** status in the **ATT** after the Analysis Phase.

Process them in reverse *LSN* order using the **lastLSN** to speed up traversal. Write a **CLR** for every modification.




FULL EXAMPLE






FULL EXAMPLE



FULL EXAMPLE



ADDITIONAL CRASH ISSUES (1)

What does the DBMS do if it crashes during recovery in the Analysis Phase? → Nothing. Just run recovery again.

What does the DBMS do if it crashes during recovery in the Redo Phase?

 \rightarrow Again nothing. Redo everything again.



ADDITIONAL CRASH ISSUES (2)

How can the DBMS improve performance during recovery in the Redo Phase?

 \rightarrow Assume that it is not going to crash again and flush all changes to disk asynchronously in the background.

How can the DBMS improve performance during recovery in the Undo Phase?

- \rightarrow Lazily rollback changes before new txns access pages.
- \rightarrow Rewrite the application to avoid long-running txns.



CONCLUSION

Mains ideas of ARIES:

- \rightarrow WAL with **STEAL**/**NO-FORCE**
- \rightarrow Fuzzy Checkpoints (snapshot of dirty page ids)
- \rightarrow Redo everything since the earliest dirty page
- \rightarrow Undo txns that never commit
- → Write **CLRs** when undoing, to survive failures during restarts

Log Sequence Numbers:

 \rightarrow LSNs identify log records; linked into backwards chains per transaction via prevLSN.

 \rightarrow pageLSN allows comparison of data page and log records.



NEXT CLASS

You now know how to build a single-node DBMS.

So now we can talk about distributed databases!

