CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT 15-445/645 – DATABASE SYSTEMS (FALL 2021) ANDREW CROTTY & LIN MA

Homework #3 (by Sophie Qiu)
Due: Sunday Oct 24, 2021 @ 11:59pm

IMPORTANT:

- Upload this PDF with your answers to Gradescope by 11:59pm on Sunday Oct 24, 2021.
- **Plagiarism**: Homework may be discussed with other students, but all homework is to be completed **individually**.
- You have to use this PDF for all of your answers.

For your information:

• Graded out of 100 points; 2 questions total

• Rough time estimate: $\approx 1 - 2$ hours (0.5 - 1 hours for each question)

Revision: 2021/10/12 17:35

Question	Points	Score
Sorting Algorithms	40	
Join Algorithms	60	
Total:	100	

Question 1: Sorting Algorithms	it
(a) [10 points] Assume that the DBMS has <u>six</u> buffers. How many passes does the DBM need to perform in order to sort the file? □ 5 □ 7 □ 8 □ 10 □ 12	S
(b) [5 points] Again, assuming that the DBMS has <u>six</u> buffers. What is the total I/O cost to sort the file? □ 60,000,000 □ 120,000,000 □ 144,000,000 □ 240,000,000 □ 480,000,000	
(c) [10 points] What is the smallest number of buffers <i>B</i> that the DBMS can sort the targe file using only <u>two</u> passes? □ 172 □ 173 □ 174 □ 2,450 □ 2,451 □ 2,452 □ 2,827 □ 2,828 □ 2,829 □ 3,999,999 □ 4,000,000 □ 4,000,001	
(d) [10 points] What is the smallest number of buffers B that the DBMS can sort the targefile using only <u>six</u> passes? \Box 14 \Box 15 \Box 16 \Box 1,240 \Box 1,241 \Box 1,242 \Box 1,256 \Box 1,257 \Box 1,258 \Box 2,934 \Box 2,935 \Box 2,936 \Box 3,999,999 \Box 4,000,000 \Box 4,000,001	7
(e) [5 points] Suppose the DBMS has <u>twenty-four</u> buffers. What is the largest database fill (expressed in terms of N, the number of pages) that can be sorted with external merg sort using <u>six</u> passes? □ 65,610 □ 65,601 □ 131,071 □ 131,072 □ 3,590,490 □ 3,590,940 □ 49,251,980 □ 49,521,980 □ 154,472,230 □ 154,472,232	ge

Question 2: Join Algorithms [60 points]

Consider relations R(a, b), S(a, c, d), and T(a, e) to be joined on the common attribute a. Assume that there are no indexes available on the tables to speed up the join algorithms.

- There are B = 60 pages in the buffer
- Table R spans M = 1,400 pages with 60 tuples per page
- Table S spans N = 2,200 pages with 200 tuples per page
- The joining result of R and S spans K = 2,000 pages
- Table T spans L = 1,000 pages with 200 tuples per page

Answer the following questions on computing the I/O costs for the joins. You can assume the simplest cost model where pages are read and written one at a time. You can also assume that you will need <u>one</u> buffer block to hold the evolving output block and <u>one</u> input block to hold the current input block of the inner relation. You may ignore the cost of the writing of the final results.

(a)	□ 11,200 □ 23,000 □ 56,400 □ 85,000 □ 92,600									
(b)	[5 points] Block nested loop join with S as the outer relation and R as the inner relation: \Box 31,200 \Box 43,000 \Box 43,600 \Box 52,900 \Box 55,400									
(c)	 Hash join with S as the outer relation and R as the inner relation. You may ignore recurs partitioning and partially filled blocks. i. [5 points] What is the cost of the partition phase? □ 2,800 □ 4,400 □ 5,000 □ 5,800 □ 7,200 									
	ii. [5 points] What is the cost of the probe phase? \Box 2,800 \Box 4,400 \Box 3,600 \Box 4,800 \Box 7,200									
(d)	 d) [10 points] Assume that the tables do not fit in main memory and that a high cardinal of distinct values hash to the same bucket using your hash function h₁. Which of following approaches works the best? □ Create hashtables for the inner and outer relation using h₁ and rehash into an embedded hash table using h₁ for large buckets 									
	\Box Create hashtables for the inner and outer relation using h_1 and rehash into an embedded hash table using $h_2 != h_1$ for large buckets \Box Use linear probing for collisions and page in and out parts of the hashtable needed at a given time									
	☐ Create 2 hashtables half the size of the original one, run the same hash join algorithm on the tables, and then merge the hashtables together									

(e)	e) Sort-merge join with S as the outer relation and R as the inner relation:							
	i.	[4 points]	What is the cost of sorting the tuples in R on attribute a?					
		\Box 3,000	□ 5,600	□ 7,400	□ 9,600	□ 10,800		
	ii.	[4 points]	What is the cost of sorting the tuples in S on attribute a?					
		\Box 3,400	□ 4,000	□ 6,400	□ 7,600	□ 8,800		
	iii.	[10 points]	What is tl	ne cost of th	e merge pha	se assuming there	are no duplicates in	
		the join attr	ribute?					
		□ 1,400	□ 1,800	\Box 3,600	\Box 4,400	□ 4,800		
iv. [10 points] What is the cost of the merge phase in the worst-case scenario?								
		□ 1,080,00	00 □ 2,8	80,000 □	3, 080,000	\Box 4, 750,000	\Box 10,080,000	
	v.	[2 points]	Now consi	der joining	R, S and the	n joining the result	with T. What is the	
	cost of the merge phase assuming there are no duplicates in the join attribute?							
		\Box 1,000	\Box 2,000	\Box 3,000	\Box 5,000	\Box 2,000,000		