CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT 15-445/645 – DATABASE SYSTEMS (FALL 2022) PROF. ANDY PAVLO

Homework #3 (by Wan Shen Lim) – Solutions Due: **Sunday Oct 9, 2022** @ **11:59pm**

IMPORTANT:

- Enter all of your answers into Gradescope by 11:59pm on Sunday Oct 9, 2022.
- **Plagiarism**: Homework may be discussed with other students, but all homework is to be completed **individually**.

For your information:

- Graded out of 100 points; 3 questions total
- Rough time estimate: ≈ 1 2 hours (0.5 1 hours for each question)

Revision: 2022/10/10 16:03

Question	Points	Score
Sorting Algorithms	35	
Join Algorithms	35	
Query Execution	30	
Total:	100	

Question 1: Sorting Algorithms	[35 p	oints]
Graded by:		

We have a database file with fourteen million pages (N = 14,000,000 pages), and we want to sort it using external merge sort. Assume that the DBMS is not using double buffering or blocked I/O, and that it uses quicksort for in-memory sorting. Let B denote the number of buffers.

(a) [8 points] Assume that the DBMS has <u>eight</u> buffers. How many passes does the DBMS need to perform in order to sort the file?

 \square 8 \blacksquare 9 \square 10 \square 11 \square 12

Solution:

$$1 + \left\lceil \log_{B-1} \left(\left\lceil \frac{N}{B} \right\rceil \right) \right\rceil = 1 + \left\lceil \log_7 \left(\left\lceil 14,000,000/8 \right\rceil \right) \right\rceil$$
$$= 1 + \left\lceil \log_7 \left(\left\lceil 1,750,000 \right\rceil \right) \right\rceil$$
$$= 1 + 8 = 9$$

(b) [9 points] Again, assuming that the DBMS has eight buffers. What is the total I/O cost to sort the file?

 \square 224,000,000 \blacksquare **252,000,000** \square 280,000,000 \square 308,000,000 \square 336,000,000

Solution: $Cost = 2N \times \#passes = 2 \times 14,000,000 \times 9$

(c) [9 points] What is the smallest number of buffers B that the DBMS can sort the target file using only eight passes?

 \square 7 \square 8 $\overline{\blacksquare}$ 9 \square 2,450 \square 2,451 \square 2,452 \square 3,742 \square 3,743

Solution: We want B where $N \le B \times (B-1)^7$. If B=9, then $14,000,000 \le 9 \times 8^7=18,874,368$; any smaller value for B would fail.

(d) [9 points] Suppose the DBMS has forty-two buffers. What is the largest database file (expressed in terms of N, the number of pages) that can be sorted with external merge sort using four passes?

■ 2,894,682 □ 3,111,696 □ 3,185,784 □ 118,681,962 □ 130,691,232 □ 133,802,928 □ 4,865,960,442 □ 5,489,031,744 □ 5,619,722,976

Solution: We want N such that $N \le B \times (B-1)^3$. The largest such value is $B \times (B-1)^3$ itself, which is $42 \times 41^3 = 2,894,682$

Consider relations R(a, b, c), S(a, d), and T(a, e, f) to be joined on the common attribute a. Assume that there are no indexes available on the tables to speed up the join algorithms.

- There are B = 445 pages in the buffer
- Table R spans M = 1,500 pages with 80 tuples per page
- Table S spans N = 4,500 pages with 150 tuples per page
- Table T spans O = 200 pages with 250 tuples per page

Answer the following questions on computing the I/O costs for the joins. You can assume the simplest cost model where pages are read and written one at a time. You can also assume that you will need <u>one</u> buffer block to hold the evolving output block and <u>one</u> input block to hold the current input block of the inner relation. You may ignore the cost of the writing of the final results.

(a) [4 na	ointal D	look nested	loon ioin wit	h C as tha av	utar ralation a	nd Dag tha i	nor rolation:
-					ter relation an □ 19,500		
Solu	ution: N	$\left(+ \left\lceil \frac{N}{B-2} \right\rceil \right) \times$	M = 4,500	$+\left\lceil \frac{4,500}{443}\right\rceil \times$	1,500 = 4,5	600 + 16,500	0 = 21,000
-					iter relation an ■ 19,500		
Solu	ution: M	$M + \lceil \frac{M}{B-2} \rceil \times$	N = 1,500	$+ \left\lceil \frac{1,500}{443} \right\rceil \times$	4,500 = 1,5	600 + 18,000	0 = 19,500
i.	[3 points	s] What is the	he cost of so	rting the tup	as the inner re les in R on att 15,000	ribute a?)
			$1 + \lceil \log_{B-1} 1,500 * 2 =$		$1 + \lceil \log_{444}(\lceil \frac{1}{2} \rceil) \rceil$	$\frac{1,500}{445}\rceil)\rceil = 1$	+1 = 2
•		-			les in S on att \Box 15,000)
			$1 + \lceil \log_{B-1} 4,500 * 2 =$		$+\lceil \log_{444}(\lceil \frac{4}{3} \rceil) \rceil$	$\left \frac{1,500}{445}\right $	+1=2
j	□ 1,500	□ 3,00		00 🗆 6	se in the wors $000 \Box 10$		

option describes.

		Solution:	$M \times N =$	$1,500\times 4,$	500 = 6, 7	50,000			
	iv.	the join attr 1,500	ribute?	□ 4,5			ing there are	-	licates in 250,000
		Solution:	M + N =	1,500+4,	500 = 6, 0	00			
	v.	the cost of join attribu	the final me	erge phase is any pages di	8 800 and a d the join i	ssume that esult of R	ng the result of there are no and S span? 00 □ 800		
		joined thos	se J pages v d that this v	with T's O	pages, assu	ming no d	result of R a uplicates in thords, $J+O=$	ne join a	attribute,
(d)	part	h join with sitioning and [4 points]	l partially fi What is the	lled blocks.	e probe pha	se?	ion. You may 00 □ 12,00		recursive
		Solution:	(M+N)	=(1,500+	4,500) =	6,000			
	ii.	[4 points] □ 1,500					00 ■ 12,00	00 🗆	18,050
		Solution:	$2 \times (M +$	$N) = 2 \times ($	(1,500+4)	(500) = 2	$\times 6,000 = 1$	2,000	
(e)	of confolice of following the following of the following t	distinct value owing appropriate hash bedded has Create hasht in table using Use linear powen time Create 2 hashe tables, and tables, and tables, and tables, and tables of the tables of tables o	es hash to to caches work hables for hable using ables for the g h_1 for larg robing for contables half and then mer	the same but as the best? the inner and one inner and one buckets collisions and of the size of the hashing the hashing the hashing the buckets.	and outer for large houter relation d page in a the origina tables toge	relation upuckets on using hand out pand one, runther	ory and that a h function h_1 using h_1 and and and arts of the has the same has	rehash nto an en htable r	ch of the and into an
	Sol	ution: Use	Grace hash	h ioin with	recursive 1	partitionin	g, which is v	vhat the	correct

_	ion 3: Query Execution
(a)	[6 points] Which processing model has on average the smallest working buffer per operator invocation? Ignore optimizations like projection pushdown. Select only one answer. ■ Iterator □ Materialization □ Vectorization
	Solution: The iterator model processes a single tuple at a time. The materialization model processes its input all at once and emits its output all at once. The vectorization model emits batches of tuples. A single tuple is smaller than multiple tuples.
(b)	[6 points] In the <i>iterator</i> processing model, the logic of an operator is independent of its children and parents. (i.e., the code does not case on what type of iterator the children or parents are) ■ True □ False
	Solution: Iterators receive tuples as input and emit tuples as output. Consequently, iterators compose cleanly. Any iterator can be used as input or output to any other iterator, without writing custom code for each possible iterator configuration.
(c)	[6 points] In the <i>vectorized</i> processing model, each operator that receives input from multiple children requires multi-threaded execution to generate the <i>Next()</i> output tuples from each child. □ True ■ False
	Solution: Iterators couple dataflow with control flow. When <i>Next()</i> returns a batch of tuples in the dataflow graph, control is returned too. Subsequently, the entire query plan can be executed with only one thread.
(d)	[6 points] The <i>iterator</i> processing model often leads to good code locality (in the instruction cache sense). □ True ■ False
	Solution: Iterators are typically implemented in a generic way. For example, processing a tuple may involve calling another function to first interpret the tuple's contents, and the <i>Next()</i> function itself is usually virtual or invoked by a function pointer. This results in frequent long jumps, which leads to poor code locality.
(e)	[6 points] An index scan is always better (fewer I/O operations, faster run-time) than a sequential scan, regardless of the processing model. □ True ■ False
	Solution: An index scan may require multiple I/O operations (look up the row in the index, look up the row in the heap) whereas a sequential scan will just go through the heap. Moreover, a sequential scan may benefit more from pre-fetching pages. Post-greSQL's optimizer defaults to picking sequential scans over index scans if it thinks that you're asking for more than (very approximately) 10% of all rows in the table.

Homework #3