Homework #4 (by Aolei Zhou)
Due: Sunday November 12, 2023 @ 11:59pm

IMPORTANT:
• Enter all of your answers into Gradescope by 11:59pm on Sunday November 12, 2023.
• Plagiarism: Homework may be discussed with other students, but all homework is to be completed individually.

For your information:
• Graded out of 100 points; 4 questions total
• Rough time estimate: ≈ 2 - 4 hours (0.5 - 1 hours for each question)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Query Execution, Planning, and Optimization</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serializability and 2PL</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierarchical Locking</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimistic Concurrency Control</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 1: Query Execution, Planning, and Optimization . . . . . [24 points]

(a) [3 points] For a query that involves a range condition, zone maps can help in determining if a block contains any relevant data.
   □ True □ False

(b) [3 points] For OLAP queries, which often involve complex operations on vast datasets, intra-query parallelism is typically not preferred to optimize performance.
   □ True □ False

(c) [3 points] The process per DBMS worker approach provides better fault isolation than the thread per DBMS worker approach.
   □ True □ False

(d) [3 points] In OLAP workload, the vectorized model’s performance improvements come mainly from the reduction in the number of disk I/O operations.
   □ True □ False

(e) [3 points] For a table with a well-maintained B-Tree index, an index scan will always be faster than a sequential scan because of fewer I/O operations and faster run-time.
   □ True □ False

(f) [3 points] The query optimizer in a database management system always guarantees the generation of an optimal execution plan by exhaustively evaluating all possible plans to ensure the lowest cost for query execution.
   □ True □ False

(g) [3 points] Predicate pushdown involves moving filter conditions closer to the node where the data is stored, while projection pushdown involves transferring only the necessary columns of the data.
   □ True □ False

(h) [3 points] In the context of query optimization, where accurate statistics are crucial for plan choices, sampling requires examining every row in a table to compute these vital statistics.
   □ True □ False

Homework #4 continues...
Question 2: Serializability and 2PL ........................................ [26 points]

(a) True/False Questions:

i. [2 points] Strong strict Two-Phase Locking (2PL) prevents the occurrence of cascading aborts and inherently avoids deadlocks without the need for additional prevention or detection techniques.
   □ True □ False

ii. [2 points] For a schedule following strong strict 2PL, the dependency graph is guaranteed to be acyclic.
    □ True □ False

iii. [2 points] Using regular (i.e., not strong strict) 2PL does not guarantee a conflict serializable schedule.
     □ True □ False

iv. [2 points] Conflict serializable schedules prevent unrepeatable reads and dirty reads, even in the event of cascading aborts.
    □ True □ False

v. [2 points] 2PL is a pessimistic concurrency control protocol.
    □ True □ False

vi. [2 points] Every conflict-serializable schedule is always conflict-equivalent to at least one view-serializable schedule.
    □ True □ False

(b) Serializability:
Consider the schedule of 4 transactions in Table 1. R(·) and W(·) stand for ‘Read’ and ‘Write’, respectively, and time increases from left to right. (This is in contrast to the diagrams in class, where time proceeded downward.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$t_1$</th>
<th>$t_2$</th>
<th>$t_3$</th>
<th>$t_4$</th>
<th>$t_5$</th>
<th>$t_6$</th>
<th>$t_7$</th>
<th>$t_8$</th>
<th>$t_9$</th>
<th>$t_{10}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>R(C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W(A)</td>
<td>R(D)</td>
<td>W(B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3$</td>
<td>W(B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W(C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_4$</td>
<td></td>
<td>W(B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: A schedule with 4 transactions

i. [2 points] Is this schedule serial?
   □ Yes □ No

ii. [2 points] Is this schedule conflict serializable?
   □ Yes □ No

iii. [2 points] Is this schedule view serializable?
    □ Yes □ No

iv. [6 points] Compute the conflict dependency graph for the schedule in Table 1, selecting all edges (and the object that caused the dependency) that appear in the graph.

Question 2 continues...
\[ T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \quad T_2 \rightarrow T_3 \quad T_2 \rightarrow T_4 \]
\[ T_2 \rightarrow T_1 \quad T_3 \rightarrow T_2 \quad T_4 \rightarrow T_2 \]
\[ T_1 \rightarrow T_3 \quad T_1 \rightarrow T_4 \quad T_3 \rightarrow T_4 \]
\[ T_3 \rightarrow T_1 \quad T_4 \rightarrow T_1 \quad T_4 \rightarrow T_3 \]

v. **[2 points]** Is this schedule possible under regular 2PL?
- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

Homework #4 continues…
Question 3: Hierarchical Locking ........................................... [24 points]
Consider a database \( D \) consisting of two tables \( A \) (which stores information about musical artists) and \( R \) (which stores information about the artists’ releases). Specifically:

- \( R(\text{id}, \text{name}, \text{artist_credit}, \text{language}, \text{status}, \text{genre}, \text{year}, \text{number_sold}) \)
- \( A(\text{id}, \text{name}, \text{type}, \text{area}, \text{gender}, \text{begin_date_year}) \)

Table \( R \) spans 1000 pages, which we denote \( R_1 \) to \( R_{1000} \). Table \( A \) spans 50 pages, which we denote \( A_1 \) to \( A_{50} \). Each page contains 100 records. We use the notation \( R_{3.20} \) to denote the twentieth record on the third page of table \( R \). There are no indexes on these tables.

Suppose the database supports shared and exclusive hierarchical intention locks (\( S, X, IS, IX \) and \( SIX \)) at four levels of granularity: database-level (\( D \)), table-level (\( R \) and \( A \)), page-level (e.g., \( R_{10} \)), and record-level (e.g., \( R_{10.42} \)). We use the notation \( IS(D) \) to mean a shared database-level intention lock, and \( X(A_{2.20} - A_{3.80}) \) to mean a set of exclusive locks on the records from the 20th record on the second page to the 80th record on the third page of table \( A \).

For each of the following operations below, what sequence of lock requests should be generated to maximize the potential for concurrency while guaranteeing correctness?

(a) [4 points] Update the type of all musical artists in table \( A \) with the name = 'Lunar Echoes' to 'Band'.
- \( S(D) \)
- \( IS(D), IS(R) \)
- \( IX(D), X(A) \)
- \( SIX(D), X(A) \)
- \( IX(D), IX(A) \)

(b) [4 points] Find the release record whose year equals the most recent year in all releases.
- \( S(D) \)
- \( IS(D), IS(R) \)
- \( IX(D), X(R) \)
- \( IS(D), S(R) \)

(c) [4 points] Modify the 30th record on \( A_{12} \).
- \( IX(D), IX(A), IX(A_{12}), IX(A_{12.30}) \)
- \( IX(D), IX(A), IX(A_{12}), X(A_{12.30}) \)
- \( SIX(D), SIX(A), SIX(A_{12}), X(A_{12.30}) \)
- \( IS(D), IS(A), IS(A_{12}), X(A_{12.30}) \)

(d) [4 points] Scan all records in \( R \) and modify the 3rd record on \( R_{4} \)
- \( IX(D), SIX(R), IX(R_{4}), X(R_{4.3}) \)
- \( IS(D), S(R), IX(R_{4.3}) \)
- \( S(D), IX(R), X(R_{4.3}) \)
- \( IS(D), SIX(R), X(R_{4}) \)

(e) [4 points] Scan all records in \( R \) and modify the 23rd record on \( R_{7} \).
- \( IX(D), SIX(R), IX(R_{7}), X(R_{7.23}) \)
- \( IS(D), IS(R), IS(R_{7}), X(R_{7.23}) \)

Question 3 continues...
(f) [4 points] Two users are trying to access data. User A is scanning all the records in R to read, while User B is trying to modify the 23th record in A1. Which of the following sets of locks are most suitable for this scenario?

- User A: SIX(D), S(R), User B: SIX(D), IX(A), X(A1.23)
- User A: S(D), User B: X(D)
- User A: IS(D), S(R), User B: IX(D), IX(A), X(A1.23)
- User A: IS(D), S(R), User B: SIX(D), IX(A), X(A1.23)
**Question 4: Optimistic Concurrency Control . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . [26 points]**

Consider the following set of transactions accessing a database with object $A, B, C, D$. The questions below assume that the transaction manager is using optimistic concurrency control (OCC). Assume that a transaction begins its read phase with its first operation and switches from the READ phase immediately into the VALIDATION phase after its last operation executes.

Note: VALIDATION may or may not succeed for each transaction. If validation fails, the transaction will get immediately aborted.

You can assume that the DBMS is using the serial validation protocol discussed in class where only one transaction can be in the validation phase at a time, and each transaction is doing backward validation (i.e. Each transaction, when validating, checks whether it intersects its read/write sets with any transactions that have already committed. You may assume there are no other transactions in addition to the ones shown below. )

![Figure 1: An execution schedule](image)

(a) **[4 points]** When is each transaction’s timestamp assigned in the transaction process?
- The start of the write phase.
- Timestamps are not necessary for OCC.
- The start of the validation phase.
- The start of the read phase.

(b) **[4 points]** When time = 3, will $T_1$ read $A$ written by $T_2$?
- Yes  
- No
(c) [4 points] Will T1 abort?
   □ Yes
   □ No

(d) [4 points] Will T2 abort?
   □ Yes
   □ No

(e) [4 points] Will T3 abort?
   □ Yes
   □ No

(f) [2 points] OCC works best when concurrent transactions access the same subset of data in a database.
   □ True  □ False

(g) [2 points] Transactions can suffer from phantom reads in OCC.
   □ True  □ False

(h) [2 points] Aborts due to OCC are wasteful because they happen after a transaction has already finished executing.
   □ True  □ False