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**Question 1: Write-Ahead Logging...............................[25 points]**
Consider a DBMS using write-ahead logging with physical log records with the STEAL and NO-FORCE buffer pool management policy. Assume the DBMS executes a non-fuzzy checkpoint where all dirty pages are written to disk.

Its transaction recovery log contains log records of the following form:

\<txnId, objectId, beforeValue, afterValue>\n
The log also contains checkpoint, transaction begin, and transaction commit records.

The database contains three objects (i.e., A, B, and C).

The DBMS sees records as in Figure 1 in the WAL on disk after a crash.

Assume the DBMS uses ARIES as described in class to recover from failures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSN</th>
<th>WAL Record</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;T1 BEGIN&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;T1, A, 12, 55&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;T1, B, 42, 8&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;T2 BEGIN&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;T2, A, 55, 62&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;T1 COMMIT&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;T2, C, 0, 35&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;T3 BEGIN&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;T3, B, 8, 81&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;T2, A, 62, 67&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;CHECKPOINT&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>&lt;T3, B, 81, 45&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>&lt;T2, A, 67, 13&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;T3 COMMIT&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;T2, C, 35, 66&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1: WAL**

(a) **[6 points]** What are the values of A, B, and C in the database stored on disk before the DBMS recovers the state of the database?

- □ A=67, B=81, C=35
- □ A=13, B=45, C=66
- □ A=13, B=45, C=Not possible to determine
- □ A=55, B=8, C=35
- □ A=Not possible to determine, B=42, C=0
- □ A=12, B=42, C=Not possible to determine
- □ A=Not possible to determine, B=Not possible to determine, C=66
- □ A=Not possible to determine, B=81, C=Not possible to determine
- □ A=13, B=Not possible to determine C=35

---

Question 1 continues…
A, B, C = Not possible to determine

(b) [3 points] What should be the correct action on T1 when recovering the database from WAL?
- redo all of T1’s changes
- undo all of T1’s changes
- do nothing to T1

(c) [3 points] What should be the correct action on T2 when recovering the database from WAL?
- redo all of T2’s changes
- undo all of T2’s changes
- do nothing to T2

(d) [3 points] What should be the correct action on T3 when recovering the database from WAL?
- redo all of T3’s changes
- undo all of T3’s changes
- do nothing to T3

(e) [10 points] Assume that the DBMS flushes all dirty pages when the recovery process finishes. What are the values of A, B, and C after the DBMS recovers the state of the database from the WAL in Figure 1?
- A=33, B=6, C=42
- A=13, B=66, C=55
- A=13, B=45, C=66
- A=13, B=7, C=67
- A=12, B=42, C=0
- A=33, B=42, C=100
- A=55, B=45, C=0
- A=13, B=42, C=67
- A=33, B=20, C=43
- A=55, B=45, C=66
- A=55, B=12, C=66
- Not possible to determine

Homework #5 continues...
Question 2: Two-Phase Commit ................................................... [18 points]

Consider a distributed transaction $T$ operating under the two-phase commit protocol with the 
*early acknowledgement optimization*. Let $N_0$ be the *coordinator* node, and $N_1, N_2, N_3$ be the 
*participant* nodes. Let the $C$ be the client application issuing the transaction request. Assume 
that the client communicates directly with the coordinator node.

The following messages have been sent:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time</th>
<th>message</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$C$ to $N_0$: &quot;REQUEST: COMMIT&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$N_0$ to $N_2$: &quot;Phase1: PREPARE&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$N_2$ to $N_0$: &quot;OK&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$N_0$ to $N_1$: &quot;Phase1: PREPARE&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$N_0$ to $N_3$: &quot;Phase1: PREPARE&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$N_1$ to $N_0$: &quot;OK&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$N_3$ to $N_0$: &quot;OK&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Two-Phase Commit messages for transaction $T$ for parts (a), (b)

(a) [6 points] Who should send a message next at time 8 in Figure 2? Select *all* the possible 
answers.
- $C$
- $N_0$
- $N_1$
- $N_2$
- $N_3$
- It is not possible to determine

(b) [6 points] To whom? Again, select *all* the possible answers.
- $C$
- $N_0$
- $N_1$
- $N_2$
- $N_3$
- It is not possible to determine

(c) [6 points] Now suppose that the two-phase commit protocol is incorrectly implemented 
in our system, and we instead have the message log shown in Figure 3 (below). $C$, $N_0$, 
$N_1$, $N_2$, and $N_3$ all play the same roles as they did in the previous parts.

At what timestep(s) is the two-phase commit protocol violated? Select *all* that apply.
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Question 2 continues...
6
7
8
9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time</th>
<th>message</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C to N₀: &quot;REQUEST:COMMIT&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>N₀ to N₂: &quot;Phase1:PREPARE&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N₀ to N₁: &quot;Phase1:PREPARE&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>N₀ to N₃: &quot;Phase1:PREPARE&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>N₂ to N₀: &quot;ABORT&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>N₁ to N₀: &quot;OK&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>N₃ to N₀: &quot;OK&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>N₀ to N₁: &quot;Phase2:COMMIT&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>N₀ to N₃: &quot;Phase2:COMMIT&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Incorrect Two-Phase Commit messages for transaction T for part (c)
Question 3: Distributed Query Plan .......................... [27 points]
The CMUDB group is working on a brand new shared-nothing distributed database system called BusTub***. They are developing the distributed query engine.

Given the following schema:

```
CREATE TABLE t1(PARTITION KEY v1 int, v2 int);
CREATE TABLE t2(PARTITION KEY v3 int, v4 int);
CREATE TABLE t3(PARTITION KEY v5 int, v6 int);
```

The database system partitions the tables by key range. That is to say, each node in the system manages rows of the table within a non-overlapping range of keys.

Given the following query:

```
SELECT *
FROM (t1 INNER JOIN t2 ON v1 = v3)
    INNER JOIN t3 ON v4 = v5;
```

Assume that the query optimizer doesn’t know the ranges of data stored on each node and only knows the tables are sharded by some keys, and it generates the following plan:

```
Fragment 6
    Union
Fragment 5
    Gather
    HashJoin (f)
Fragment 3
    Shuffle by (d)
    HashJoin (c)
Fragment 4
    Shuffle by (e)
    Sequential Scan t3
Fragment 1
    Shuffle by (a)
    Sequential Scan t1
Fragment 2
    Shuffle by (b)
    Sequential Scan t2
```

Question 3 continues…
Note that this query plan is missing some expressions in the Shuffle and HashJoin operators, corresponding to the following question parts. Fill in the missing parts to this query plan.

(a) [3 points] In the Shuffle from fragment 1 to fragment 3, which column should be used to shuffle the outputted rows of the t1 scan?
   - v1
   - v2
   - v3
   - v4
   - v5
   - v6

(b) [3 points] In the Shuffle from fragment 2 to fragment 3, which column should be used to shuffle the outputted rows of the t2 scan?
   - v1
   - v2
   - v3
   - v4
   - v5
   - v6

(c) [2 points] How are tables t1 and t2 being joined in the fragment 3 HashJoin?
   - v1 = v2
   - v2 = v3
   - v1 = v3
   - v2 = v4
   - v3 = v5
   - v4 = v5

(d) [3 points] In the Shuffle from fragment 3 to fragment 5, which column should be used to shuffle the outputted rows of the HashJoin?
   - v1
   - v2
   - v3
   - v4
   - v5
   - v6

(e) [3 points] In the Shuffle from fragment 4 to fragment 5, which column should be used to shuffle the outputted rows of the t3 scan?
   - v1
   - v2
   - v3
   - v4
   - v5
   - v6

(f) [3 points] How are the input tables being joined in the fragment 5 HashJoin?

Question 3 continues…
\( v_1 = v_2 \)

\( v_2 = v_3 \)

\( v_1 = v_3 \)

\( v_2 = v_4 \)

\( v_3 = v_5 \)

\( v_4 = v_5 \)

(g) [5 points] Assume there are 3 nodes in the system and the data ranges in each node are as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Node} & t_1.v_1 & t_2.v_3 & t_3.v_5 \\
\hline
\text{Node 1} & 0 - 999 & 0 - 999 & 0 - 999 \\
\text{Node 2} & 1000 - 1999 & 1000 - 1999 & 1000 - 1999 \\
\text{Node 3} & 2000 - 2999 & 2000 - 2999 & 2000 - 2999 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Table 1: Data distribution for table \( t_1 \) to \( t_3 \)

Assume that \( t_2.v_4 \) also has all values across 0-2999, except that they are uniformly randomly distributed across the three nodes (i.e., the table is not partitioned by \( v_4 \) and so any value of \( v_4 \) could be in any node).

Given the data ranges on each node and our query plan from earlier assume that our system has generated the best possible execution schedule (i.e., the optimal scheduling of scan/join partitions on nodes within fragments which minimizes the worst case amount of data transferred over the network).

What is the worst case number of rows that need to be shuffled from fragments 1 and 2 to fragment 3 across the network in this schedule?

- 0
- 1000
- 2000
- 3000

(h) [5 points] With same assumptions as the previous part, what is the worst case number of rows that need to be shuffled from fragments 3 and 4 to fragment 5 across the network in this schedule?

- 0
- 1000
- 2000
- 3000
Question 4: Miscellaneous ........................................... [30 points]

(a) [3 points] Both PAXOS and Two-Phase Commit protocols can be used to implement distributed transactions.
   - True
   - False

(b) [3 points] A DBMS implementing transactions via shadow paging never has to undo or redo any actions of a transaction.
   - True
   - False

(c) [3 points] In reference to recovery algorithms that use a write-ahead log (WAL). Under NO-STEAL + FORCE policy, a DBMS will need to redo the changes of a committed transaction during recovery.
   - True
   - False

(d) [3 points] In reference to recovery algorithms that use a write-ahead log (WAL). Under NO-STEAL + FORCE policy, a DBMS will have to undo the changes of an aborted transaction during recovery.
   - True
   - False

(e) [3 points] Fuzzy checkpoints need to block the execution of all transactions while a consistent snapshot is written to disk.
   - True
   - False

(f) [3 points] For a DBMS that uses ARIES, all updated pages must be flushed to disk for a transaction to commit.
   - True
   - False

(g) [3 points] During the undo phase of ARIES, all transactions that committed after the last checkpoint are undone.
   - True
   - False

Question 4 continues...
(h) [3 points] In ARIES, only transactions that commit will have an associated “TXN-END” record in the log.

- True
- False

(i) [3 points] With consistent hashing, if a node fails then all keys must be reshuffled among the remaining nodes.

- True
- False

(j) [3 points] In a system with strong consistency requirements, it is best for the DBMS to implement active-passive replication with asynchronous replication and continuous log streaming.

- True
- False