Homework #4 (by Amy Cheng, Ritu Pathak, Shivang Dalal)
Due: Saturday April 6, 2024 @ 11:59pm

IMPORTANT:
• Enter all of your answers into Gradescope by 11:59pm on Saturday April 6, 2024.
• Plagiarism: Homework may be discussed with other students, but all homework is to be completed individually.

For your information:
• Graded out of 100 points; 4 questions total
• Rough time estimate: ≈ 2 - 4 hours (0.5 - 1 hours for each question)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Query Execution, Planning, and Optimization</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serializability and 2PL</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierarchical Locking</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimistic Concurrency Control</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question 1: Query Execution, Planning, and Optimization . . . . . [24 points]**

(a) **[3 points]** The zone map optimization is more effective in speeding up OLAP queries as opposed to OLTP queries.
   - □ True   □ False

(b) **[3 points]** For OLAP queries, which often involve complex operations on vast datasets, intra-query parallelism is typically not preferred to optimize performance.
   - □ True   □ False

(c) **[3 points]** The process per DBMS worker approach provides better fault isolation than the thread per DBMS worker approach.
   - □ True   □ False

(d) **[3 points]** In OLAP workload, the vectorized model’s performance improvements come mainly from the reduction in the number of disk I/O operations.
   - □ True   □ False

(e) **[3 points]** An index scan is always better (fewer I/O operations, faster run-time) than a sequential scan if the query contains an ORDER BY clause matching the index key.
   - □ True   □ False

(f) **[3 points]** The query optimizer in a database management system always guarantees the generation of an optimal execution plan by exhaustively evaluating all possible plans to ensure the lowest cost for query execution.
   - □ True   □ False

(g) **[3 points]** Predicate pushdown involves moving filter conditions closer to the node where the data is stored, while projection pushdown involves transferring only the necessary columns of the data.
   - □ True   □ False

(h) **[3 points]** The vectorized query processing model (which uses SIMD instructions to parallelize operations) is an example of intra-query parallelism.
   - □ True   □ False
Question 2: Serializability and 2PL........................[26 points]

(a) True/False Questions:

i. [3 points] Strong strict Two-Phase Locking (2PL) prevents the occurrence of cascading aborts and inherently avoids deadlocks without the need for additional prevention or detection techniques.

☐ True  ☐ False

ii. [3 points] For a schedule following strong strict 2PL, the dependency graph is guaranteed to be acyclic.

☐ True  ☐ False


☐ True  ☐ False

iv. [2 points] Conflict-serializable schedules prevent unrepeatable reads and dirty reads.

☐ True  ☐ False

v. [2 points] A schedule that is view-serializable is also conflict-serializable.

☐ True  ☐ False

(b) Serializability:
Consider the schedule of 4 transactions in Table 1. R(·) and W(·) stand for ‘Read’ and ‘Write’, respectively, and time increases from left to right. (This is in contrast to the diagrams in class, where time proceeded downward.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t1</th>
<th>t2</th>
<th>t3</th>
<th>t4</th>
<th>t5</th>
<th>t6</th>
<th>t7</th>
<th>t8</th>
<th>t9</th>
<th>t10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>R(B)</td>
<td></td>
<td>W(C)</td>
<td>W(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>W(E)</td>
<td></td>
<td>R(E)</td>
<td></td>
<td>W(C)</td>
<td>R(D)</td>
<td>W(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R(A)</td>
<td>W(B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R(B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>R(D)</td>
<td>R(B)</td>
<td></td>
<td>W(D)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: A schedule with 4 transactions

i. [3 points] Is this schedule serial?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

ii. [3 points] Is this schedule conflict-serializable?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

iii. [5 points] Compute the conflict dependency graph for the schedule in Table 1, selecting all edges (and the object that caused the dependency) that appear in the graph.

☐ T1 → T2  ☐ T2 → T3  ☐ T2 → T4

☐ T2 → T1  ☐ T3 → T2  ☐ T4 → T2

☐ T1 → T3  ☐ T1 → T4  ☐ T3 → T4

☐ T3 → T1  ☐ T4 → T1  ☐ T4 → T3

iv. [3 points] Is this schedule possible under regular 2PL?

☐ Yes

☐ No
Question 3: Hierarchical Locking .......................... [24 points]

Consider a database D consisting of two tables A (which stores information about musical artists) and R (which stores information about the artists’ releases). Specifically:

- R(rid, name, artist_credit, language, status, genre, year, number_sold)
- A(id, name, type, area, gender, begin_year)

Table R spans 1000 pages, which we denote R1 to R1000. Table A spans 50 pages, which we denote A1 to A50. Each page contains 100 records. We use the notation R3.20 to denote the twentieth record on the third page of table R. There are no indexes on these tables.

Suppose the database supports shared and exclusive hierarchical intention locks (S, X, IS, IX and SIX) at four levels of granularity: database-level (D), table-level (R and A), page-level (e.g., R10), and record-level (e.g., R10.42). We use the notation IS(D) to mean a shared database-level intention lock, and X(A2.20–A3.80) to mean a set of exclusive locks on the records from the 20th record on the second page to the 80th record on the third page of table A.

For each of the following operations below, what sequence of lock requests should be generated to maximize the potential for concurrency while guaranteeing correctness?

(a) [4 points] Fetch the records of all musical artists in A with type = 'Orchestra'.
   - SIX(D), S(A)
   - IX(D), S(A)
   - IS(D), S(A)
   - S(D)

(b) [4 points] Update the genre for all release records with language = 'English' to 'Musical theatre'.
   - IX(D), X(R)
   - SIX(D), X(R)
   - IX(D), IX(R)
   - IX(D), SIX(R)

(c) [4 points] Modify the 7th record on R80.
   - IS(D), IS(R), IS(R80), X(R80.7)
   - IX(D), IX(R), IX(R80), IX(R80.7)
   - SIX(D), IX(R), IX(R80), X(R80.7)
   - IX(D), IX(R), IX(R80), X(R80.7)

(d) [4 points] Increment the number_sold for the 6th record on R999.
   - IX(D), IX(R), SIX(R999), X(R999.6)
   - IS(D), IS(R), IS(R999), S(R999.6)
   - IX(D), IX(R), S(R999), X(R999.6)
   - IX(D), IX(R), IX(R999), X(R999.6)

(e) [4 points] Scan all records on pages A10 to A50 and modify the 20th record on A14.
   - IX(D), S(A), X(A14)
   - SIX(D), IX(A), IX(A14), X(A14.20)

Question 3 continues...
(f) [4 points] Delete records in A if type='Band'.
   □ SIX(D), SIX(A)
   □ IX(D), X(A)
   □ IX(D), IX(A)
   □ SIX(D), X(A)
Question 4: Optimistic Concurrency Control .................... [26 points]

Consider the following set of transactions accessing a database with object A, B, C, D. You should make the following assumptions:

- The transaction manager is using optimistic concurrency control (OCC).
- A transaction begins its read phase with its first operation and switches from the READ phase immediately into the VALIDATION phase after its last operation executes.
- The DBMS is using the serial validation protocol discussed in class where only one transaction can be in the validation phase at a time.
- Each transaction is doing forward validation (i.e. Each transaction, when validating, checks whether it intersects its read/write sets with any active transactions that have not committed yet).
- There are no other transactions in addition to the ones shown below.

Note: VALIDATION may or may not succeed for each transaction. If validation fails, the transaction will get immediately aborted.

(a) [4 points] When is each transaction’s timestamp assigned in the transaction process?

☐ The start of the write phase.
☐ Timestamps are not necessary for OCC.
☐ The start of the validation phase.
☐ The start of the read phase.

(b) [4 points] When time = 9, will $T_1$ read $C$ written by $T_3$?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

Figure 1: An execution schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time</th>
<th>$T_1$</th>
<th>$T_2$</th>
<th>$T_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>READ(A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>READ(B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE(B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>READ(A)</td>
<td>WRITE(B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>READ(D)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>READ(B)</td>
<td>WRITE(C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>READ(D)</td>
<td>VALIDATE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>READ(C)</td>
<td></td>
<td>VALIDATE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>VALIDATE?</td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
<td>WRITE(D)</td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE(C)</td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>VALIDATE?</td>
<td>WRITE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE(D)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>WRITE(C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>VALIDATE?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 4 continues...
(c) [4 points] Will T1 abort?
   □ Yes
   □ No

(d) [4 points] Will T2 abort?
   □ Yes
   □ No

(e) [4 points] Will T3 abort?
   □ Yes
   □ No

(f) [2 points] OCC works best when concurrent transactions access the same subset of data in a database.
   □ True   □ False

(g) [2 points] Transactions can suffer from **phantom reads** in OCC.
   □ True   □ False

(h) [2 points] Aborts due to OCC are wasteful because they happen after a transaction has already finished executing.
   □ True   □ False