CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT 15-445/645 – DATABASE SYSTEMS (SPRING 2024) PROF. JIGNESH PATEL

Homework #5 (by Alexis Schlomer & Lan Lou) Due: Saturday Apr 20, 2024 @ 11:59pm

IMPORTANT:

- Enter all of your answers into Gradescope by 11:59pm on Saturday Apr 20, 2024.
- **Plagiarism**: Homework may be discussed with other students, but all homework is to be completed **individually**.
- You have to use this PDF for all of your answers.

For your information:

• Graded out of 100 points; 4 questions total

Revision : 2024/04/17 13:27

Question	Points	Score
ARIES	28	
Two-Phase Commit	24	
Distributed Query Plan	18	
Miscellaneous	30	
Total:	100	

may arbitrarily flush a dirty bufferpool page to disk at any time.

For this question, assume objects A, B, C reside in three different pages A, B, C, respectively.

LSN	WAL Record
1	<t1, begin=""></t1,>
2	<t1, 20→30="" b,="" prev="1," update,=""></t1,>
3	<t2, begin=""></t2,>
4	<t3, begin=""></t3,>
5	<t3, 30→40="" c,="" prev="4," update,=""></t3,>
6	<t2, 10→20="" a,="" prev="3," update,=""></t2,>
7	<t2, commit,="" prev="6"></t2,>
8	<checkpoint begin=""></checkpoint>
9	<t1, 20→30="" a,="" prev="2," update,=""></t1,>
10	<pre><checkpoint att="{T1," dpt="{C}" end,="" t2,="" t3},=""></checkpoint></pre>
11	<t3, 40→50="" c,="" prev="5," update,=""></t3,>
12	<t2, txn-end=""></t2,>
13	<checkpoint begin=""></checkpoint>
14	<t1, commit,="" prev="9"></t1,>
15	<t4, begin=""></t4,>
16	<pre><checkpoint att="{T1," dpt="{?}" end,="" t3},=""></checkpoint></pre>
17	<t4, 30→20="" b,="" prev="15," update,=""></t4,>
18	<t4, 30→20="" a,="" prev="17," update,=""></t4,>
19	<t4, abort,="" prev="18"></t4,>
20	<t4, 20→30,="" a,="" clr,="" prev="19," undonext="17"></t4,>

Figure 1: WAL

- (a) Suppose the system crashes and, when it recovers, the WAL contains the first 10 records (up to <CHECKPOINT END, ATT={T1, T2, T3}, DPT={C}>). Of the object states below, which states are possibly stored on disk before recovery starts? Select all that apply.
 - i. **[4 points]** \Box A=10 \Box A=20 \Box A=30
 - ii. **[4 points]** □ B=20 □ B=30
 - iii. **[4 points]** □ C=30 □ C=40
- (b) [4 points] Select all possible values of DPT in record 16.
 □ A □ B □ C □ A, B □ A, C □ B, C □ A, B, C □ None of them
- (c) [4 points] For next 3 questions, assume that the database restarts and finds all log records up to LSN 20 in the WAL. Also assume the DPT is {C} for LSN 16. According to the lecture, which pages the analysis phase may select to be redone? Select all that apply.
 □ A □ B □ C □ None of them
- (d) **[4 points]** Select all transactions that should be undone during recovery. \Box T1 \Box T2 \Box T3 \Box T4 \Box None of them

(e) **[4 points]** How many new CLR records will be appended to the WAL after the database fully recovers?

The following messages have been sent:

time	message
1	C to N_0 : "REQUEST: COMMIT"
2	N_0 to N_2 : "Phase1:PREPARE"
3	N_0 to N_3 : "Phase1:PREPARE"
4	N_2 to N_0 : " OK "
5	N_0 to N_1 : "Phase1:PREPARE"
6	N_1 to N_0 : " OK "
7	N_3 to N_0 : " OK "

Figure 2: Two-Phase Commit messages for transaction T

- (a) **[6 points]** Who should send message(s) next at time 8 in Figure 2? Select *all* the possible answers.
 - $\Box C$
 - $\Box N_0$
 - $\Box N_1$
 - $\Box N_2$
 - $\Box N_3$
 - \Box It is not possible to determine
- (b) [6 points] To whom? Again, select *all* the possible answers.
 - $\Box \ C$
 - $\Box N_0$
 - $\Box N_1$
 - $\Box N_2$
 - $\Box N_3$
 - \Box It is not possible to determine
- (c) [6 points] Suppose that N_0 received the "ABORT" response from N_3 at time 7 in Figure 2. What should happen under the two-phase commit protocol in this scenario?
 - \Box N₀ resends "Phase1: PREPARE" to N₂
 - \square N_1 resends "**OK**" to N_0
 - \Box N₀ sends "**Phase2:COMMIT**" all of the participant nodes
 - \square N₀ sends "**ABORT**" all of the participant nodes
 - \Box N₀ resends "**Phase1: PREPARE**" to all of the participant nodes
 - □ It is not possible to determine
- (d) [6 points] Suppose that N_0 successfully receives all of the "OK" messages from the participants from the first phase. It then sends the "Phase2:COMMIT" message to all of the

participants but N_1 and N_3 crash before they receives this message. What is the status of the transaction T when N_1 comes back on-line?

- \Box *T*'s status is *aborted*
- \Box *T*'s status is *committed*
- \Box It is not possible to determine

Given the following schema:

```
CREATE TABLE cust(PRIMARY KEY cust_id int, name VARCHAR, loc_id int);
CREATE TABLE txn(PRIMARY KEY txn_id int, cust_id int, amount DECIMAL, year int);
CREATE TABLE loc(PRIMARY KEY loc_id int, region_name VARCHAR);
```

AutoLoo partitions these tables across nodes based on the partition key. Consider the query for analyzing total spending per customer region for the year 2024:

```
SELECT l.region_name, SUM(t.amount) AS total_spending
FROM txn t
JOIN cust c ON t.cust_id = c.cust_id
JOIN loc l ON c.loc_id = l.loc_id
WHERE t.year = 2024
GROUP BY l.region_name;
```

You can make the following assumptions:

- 1. There are 5 nodes in the system.
- 2. The customer table contains 20,000 rows.
- 3. The transaction table contains 50,000 rows for the year 2024.
- 4. The location table contains 500 rows.
- (a) **[5 points]** Which data distribution strategy minimizes the total network data transfer for the given query?
 - □ A) Partition customer and transaction tables by customer_id range, and replicate location table across all nodes.
 - □ B) Partition transaction table by transaction_id range, and customer and location tables by location_id range.
 - \Box C) Replicate customer and location tables across all nodes, and partition transaction table by customer_id.
 - □ D) Partition all tables randomly without any specific range or replication strategy.
- (b) **[5 points]** Assuming the selected strategy from question (a) is implemented, what is the estimated total data transferred over the network for the **join** operation? **Hint:** Only the central node can perform aggregations.

 \Box A) Less than 5,000 rows

- \square B) Between 5,001 to 25,000 rows
- □ C) Between 25,001 to 100,000 rows
- \Box D) More than 100,000 rows
- (c) **[5 points]** If AutoLoo introduces a feature that allows for intermediate aggregation results to be computed on each node before being sent to a central node for final aggregation, how will this impact the total network data transfer?
 - \Box A) Less than 5,000 rows
 - □ B) Between 5,001 to 25,000 rows
 - □ C) Between 25,001 to 100,000 rows
 - \Box D) More than 100,000 rows
- (d) **[3 points]** What are the primary drawbacks of implementing a feature that allows for intermediate aggregation results to be computed on each node before sending these results to a central node for final aggregation? Consider the impact on system resources. Select all that apply.
 - \Box A) It significantly increases the amount of data transferred over the network.
 - \square B) It increases the computational load on each node.
 - \square C) It increases the memory usage on each node due to the storage of intermediate results.
 - \Box D) It decreases the overall system performance.

- (a) **[3 points]** A distributed DBMS can commit transactions and automatically compensate for network partitioning without any loss of data consistency.
 - □ True
 - □ False
- (b) **[3 points]** ARIES employs a single pass of the log during the recovery process to handle both redo and undo operations.
 - □ True
 - □ False
- (c) **[3 points]** The CAP theorem implies that a distributed system cannot simultaneously guarantee consistency, availability, and partition tolerance.
 - □ True
 - \Box False
- (d) **[3 points]** In ARIES, only transactions that commit will have an associated "TXN-END" record in the log.
 - □ True
 - \Box False
- (e) **[3 points]** In the context of distributed DBMS, data replication increases availability but can lead to challenges in maintaining data consistency across nodes.
 - □ True
 - \Box False
- (f) **[3 points]** Both PAXOS and Two-Phase Commit protocols can be used to implement distributed transactions.
 - □ True
 - □ False
- (g) **[3 points]** In reference to recovery algorithms that use a write-ahead log (WAL). Under NO-STEAL + FORCE policy, a DBMS will have to undo the changes of an aborted transaction during recovery.
 - \Box True
 - \Box False

- (h) **[3 points]** Fuzzy checkpoints need to block the execution of all transactions while a consistent snapshot is written to disk.
 - □ True
 - □ False
- (i) **[3 points]** With consistent hashing, if a node fails then all keys must be reshuffled among the remaining nodes.
 - □ True
 - □ False
- (j) **[3 points]** In a system with strong consistency requirements, it is best for the DBMS to implement active-passive replication with asynchronous replication and continuous log streaming.
 - \Box True
 - \Box False