CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT 15-445/645 – DATABASE SYSTEMS (SPRING 2024) PROF. JIGNESH PATEL

Homework #5 (by Alexis Schlomer & Lan Lou) – Solutions Due: Saturday Apr 20, 2024 @ 11:59pm

IMPORTANT:

- Enter all of your answers into Gradescope by 11:59pm on Saturday Apr 20, 2024.
- **Plagiarism**: Homework may be discussed with other students, but all homework is to be completed **individually**.
- You have to use this PDF for all of your answers.

For your information:

• Graded out of 100 points; 4 questions total

Revision: 2024/04/23 17:22

Question	Points	Score
ARIES	28	
Two-Phase Commit	24	
Distributed Query Plan	18	
Miscellaneous	30	
Total:	100	

RusTub uses ARIES recovery with fuzzy checkpoints. It also has a background thread that may arbitrarily flush a dirty bufferpool page to disk at any time.

For this question, assume objects A, B, C reside in three different pages A, B, C, respectively.

```
LSN
    WAL Record
  1
     <T1, BEGIN>
  2 <T1, UPDATE, prev=1, B, 20\rightarrow30>
  3 < T2, BEGIN>
  4 <T3, BEGIN>
  5 <T3, UPDATE, prev=4, C, 30\rightarrow40>
  6 <T2, UPDATE, prev=3, A, 10\rightarrow20>
  7 <T2, COMMIT, prev=6>
  8 <CHECKPOINT BEGIN>
  9
     <T1, UPDATE, prev=2, A, 20→30>
 10 <CHECKPOINT END, ATT=\{T1, T2, T3\}, DPT=\{C\}>
    <T3, UPDATE, prev=5, C, 40→50>
 12 < T2, TXN-END>
 13 <CHECKPOINT BEGIN>
     <T1, COMMIT, prev=9>
 15 <T4, BEGIN>
 16 <CHECKPOINT END, ATT=\{T1, T3\}, DPT=\{?\}>
 17 <T4, UPDATE, prev=15, B, 30\rightarrow20>
     <T4, UPDATE, prev=17, A, 30\rightarrow20>
 18
 19
     <T4, ABORT, prev=18>
 20
     <T4, CLR, prev=19, A, 20→30, undoNext=17>
```

Figure 1: WAL

(a) Suppose the system crashes and, when it recovers, the WAL contains the first 10 records (up to <CHECKPOINT END, ATT={T1, T2, T3}, DPT={C}>). Of the object states below, which states are possibly stored on disk before recovery starts? Select all that apply.

```
    i. [4 points] □ A=10 ■ A=20 ■ A=30
    ii. [4 points] □ B=20 ■ B=30
    iii. [4 points] ■ C=30 ■ C=40
```

Solution: Page A is not logged as dirty in the checkpoint record, so the value can't be 10. But LSN 9 changes page A, so it can be either 20 or 30. Page B is not logged as dirty in the checkpoint record, and there is no followup updates between LSN 8 and LSN 10, so its value should be 30. Page C is logged as dirty in the checkpoint. It's uncertain whether it hasn't been flushed at all or if it has been flushed at some stage, so its value can be 30 or 40. Pages on disk will not contain any updates later than the last flushed log record.

(b)	[4 points] Select all possible values of DPT in record 16.
	\blacksquare A \square B \blacksquare C \square A, B \blacksquare A, C \square B, C \square A, B, C \square None of
	them
	Solution: Dirty pages can be flushed to disks at any time arbitrarily. But LSN 10 ensures that the value stored in disk for Page B has already been updated from 20 to 30, and there is no followup updates, so B cannot appear in the DPT. Both Page A and C may be present in the DPT due to the following updates after LSN 8 (not to mention C has already been logged dirty at the last checkpoint).
(c)	[4 points] For next 3 questions, assume that the database restarts and finds all log records up to LSN 20 in the WAL. Also assume the DPT is {C} for LSN 16. According to the lecture, which pages the analysis phase may select to be redone? Select all that apply. ■ A ■ B ■ C □ None of them
	Solution: We redo all pages in the DPT and those modified after LSN 13.
(d)	[4 points] Select all transactions that should be undone during recovery. □ T1 □ T2 ■ T3 ■ T4 □ None of them
	Solution: All uncommitted or explicitly aborted transactions should be undone.
(e)	[4 points] How many new CLR records will be appended to the WAL after the database fully recovers? □ 0 □ 1 □ 2 ■ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6
	Solution: T3 will produce 2 more CLR records. T4 will produce 1 more CLR record.

Question 2: Two-Phase Commit......[24 points]

Consider a distributed transaction T operating under the two-phase commit protocol with the **early acknowledgement optimization**. Let N_0 be the **coordinator** node, and N_1 , N_2 , N_3 be the **participant** nodes. Let the C be the client application issuing the transaction request. Assume that the client communicates directly with the coordinator node.

The following messages have been sent:

time	message
1	C to N_0 : "REQUEST: COMMIT"
2	N_0 to N_2 : "Phase1:PREPARE"
3	N_0 to N_3 : "Phase1:PREPARE"
4	N_2 to N_0 : "OK"
5	N_0 to N_1 : "Phase1:PREPARE"
6	N_1 to N_0 : "OK"
7	N_3 to N_0 : "OK"

Figure 2: Two-Phase Commit messages for transaction T

	_	Who should send message(s) next at time 8 in Figure 2? Select all the possible
	swers.	
	C	
	N_0	
	N_1	
	N_2	
	N_3	
	It is not	possible to determine
si de	dered to ecision to	At the time when all participant nodes have responded with "OK", T is conbe committed. N_0 must notify the participant nodes N_1 , N_2 , and N_3 of the commit. At this time N_0 should also notify the client application since the running with the early acknowledgement optimization.
	C N_0 N_1 N_2 N_3	To whom? Again, select <i>all</i> the possible answers.
	it is not	possible to determine

Solution: At the time when all participant nodes have responded with "**OK**", T is considered to be committed. N_0 must notify the participant nodes N_1 , N_2 , and N_3 of the decision to commit. At this time N_0 should also notify the client application since the protocol is running with the early acknowledgement optimization.

(c)	[6 points] Suppose that N_0 received the "ABORT" response from N_3 at time 7 in Figure 2. What should happen under the two-phase commit protocol in this scenario? □ N_0 resends "Phase1:PREPARE" to N_2 □ N_1 resends "OK" to N_0 □ N_0 sends "Phase2:COMMIT" all of the participant nodes □ N_0 sends "ABORT" all of the participant nodes □ N_0 resends "Phase1:PREPARE" to all of the participant nodes □ It is not possible to determine
	Solution: The coordinator (N_0) will mark the transaction as aborted. 2PC requires that all participants respond with "OK".
(d)	[6 points] Suppose that N_0 successfully receives all of the "OK" messages from the participants from the first phase. It then sends the "Phase2:COMMIT" message to all of the participants but N_1 and N_3 crash before they receives this message. What is the status of the transaction T when N_1 comes back on-line? \Box T 's status is aborted \Box T 's status is committed \Box It is not possible to determine
	Solution: Once the coordinator (N_0) gets a "OK" message from <i>all</i> participants, then the transaction is considered to be committed even though a node may crash during the second phase. In this example, N_1 and N_3 would have restore T when it comes back on-line.

Question 3: Distributed Query Plan [18 points]

The CMU-DB team is optimizing distributed databases for aggregations and joins in their new project, AutoLoo. This project aims to enable efficient computation of distributed joins followed by aggregations.

Given the following schema:

```
CREATE TABLE cust (PRIMARY KEY cust_id int, name VARCHAR, loc_id int);
CREATE TABLE txn(PRIMARY KEY txn_id int, cust_id int, amount DECIMAL, year
CREATE TABLE loc(PRIMARY KEY loc_id int, region_name VARCHAR);
```

AutoLoo partitions these tables across nodes based on the partition key. Consider the query for analyzing total spending per customer region for the year 2024:

```
SELECT l.region_name, SUM(t.amount) AS total_spending
FROM txn t
JOIN cust c ON t.cust_id = c.cust_id
JOIN loc l ON c.loc_id = l.loc_id
WHERE t.year = 2024
GROUP BY l.region_name;
```

You can make the following assumptions:

- 1. There are 5 nodes in the system.
- 2. The customer table contains 20,000 rows.
- 3. The transaction table contains 50,000 rows for the year 2024.
- 4. The location table contains 500 rows.
- (a) **[5 points]** Which data distribution strategy minimizes the total network data transfer for the given query?
 - A) Partition customer and transaction tables by customer_id range, and replicate location table across all nodes.
 - □ B) Partition transaction table by transaction_id range, and customer and location tables by location_id range.
 - □ C) Replicate customer and location tables across all nodes, and partition transaction table by customer_id.
 - □ D) Partition all tables randomly without any specific range or replication strategy.

Solution: This strategy minimizes cross-node data transfer by localizing joins involving customer and transaction tables to individual nodes and avoids the need to transfer location data across nodes because it is replicated everywhere.

es	S points] Assuming the selected strategy from question (a) is implemented, what is the stimated total data transferred over the network for the join operation? Hint: Only the entral node can perform aggregations. □ A) Less than 5,000 rows □ B) Between 5,001 to 25,000 rows □ C) Between 25,001 to 100,000 rows □ D) More than 100,000 rows
th 5	Solution: Given that location data is replicated across all nodes and joins are localized, the data transfer will be the result of all local joins. Worst case scenario $10,000 \times 5 = 60,000$ rows get transmitted to the central node, which is simply the max cardinality of the join output.
su	S points] If AutoLoo introduces a feature that allows for intermediate aggregation realts to be computed on each node before being sent to a central node for final aggregation, how will this impact the total network data transfer? ■ A) Less than 5,000 rows □ B) Between 5,001 to 25,000 rows □ C) Between 25,001 to 100,000 rows □ D) More than 100,000 rows
to	Solution: Here, the only data transfer will be the result of local aggregations needing to be combined at a central node for final computation. Since we have 5 nodes and a maximum of 500 different locations, this translates into an upper bound of 5 x $500 = 2,500$ row transfers.
in to	B points] What are the primary drawbacks of implementing a feature that allows for itermediate aggregation results to be computed on each node before sending these results a central node for final aggregation? Consider the impact on system resources. Select 1 that apply.
	 B) It increases the computational load on each node. C) It increases the memory usage on each node due to the storage of inter-
	mediate results.
	\Box D) It decreases the overall system performance.
ta T se	Solution: Performing intermediate aggregations locally increases each node's computational load and memory usage, as they must handle and store aggregation results. This method reduces network traffic but demands more computational and memory reources. Option A is incorrect since the goal is to decrease data transfer. Option D is too general; local aggregations often enhance performance by easing network demands.

1 157	0 15 (Spring 2021)	TIOTHE WORK #5	1 450 0 01 1
uest	ion 4: Miscellaneous		[30 points]
	[3 points] A distributed DBM for network partitioning without True False	IS can commit transactions a	and automatically compensat
	Solution: This question was immediately commit a transac consistency." The intended artheorem.	tion under a network partitio	ning without any loss of data
(b)	[3 points] ARIES employs a suboth redo and undo operations. □ True ■ False		he recovery process to handle
	Solution: First there is a RED	OO phase, then an UNDO ph	ase.
(c)	[3 points] The CAP theorem guarantee consistency, availabing True □ False	-	ystem cannot simultaneousl
	Solution: By definition.		
(d)	[3 points] In ARIES, only to END" record in the log. □ True ■ False	ransactions that commit wil	l have an associated "TXN
	Solution: Transactions will cooptimization in the ARIES protable.		_
(e)	[3 points] In the context of di can lead to challenges in maint	-	<u> </u>

■ True
□ False

	Solution: CAP limitations.
(f)	[3 points] Both PAXOS and Two-Phase Commit protocols can be used to implement distributed transactions. ■ True □ False
	Solution: 2PC is a degenerate case of Paxos, which is a consensus protocol for distributed transactions.
(g)	[3 points] In reference to recovery algorithms that use a write-ahead log (WAL). Under NO-STEAL + FORCE policy, a DBMS will have to undo the changes of an aborted transaction during recovery. □ True ■ False
	Solution: The FORCE policy guarantees that all changes from committed transactions are immediately written to disk, ensuring durability, while it is the NO-STEAL policy that prevents changes by uncommitted transactions from being written to disk, avoiding the need for undo operations on disk for those transactions.
(h)	[3 points] Fuzzy checkpoints need to block the execution of all transactions while a consistent snapshot is written to disk. □ True ■ False
	Solution: See motivation of checkpointing.
(i)	[3 points] With consistent hashing, if a node fails then all keys must be reshuffled among the remaining nodes. □ True ■ False
(i)	the remaining nodes. □ True

Solution: Asynchronous replication cannot guarantee consistency (see CAP).