

CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY  
COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT  
15-445/645 – DATABASE SYSTEMS (SPRING 2025)  
PROF. JIGNESH PATEL

Homework #6 (by Alexis and Hyoungjoo )  
Due: **Wednesday April 20, 2025 @ 11:59pm**

**IMPORTANT:**

- Enter all of your answers into **Gradescope by 11:59pm on Wednesday April 20, 2025.**
- **Plagiarism:** Homework may be discussed with other students, but all homework is to be completed **individually.**
- **You have to use this PDF for all of your answers.**

For your information:

- Graded out of **100** points; **4** questions total

*Revision : 2025/04/09 11:47*

Question	Points	Score
ARIES	28	
Two-Phase Commit	24	
Distributed Query Plan	18	
Miscellaneous	30	
Total:	100	

**Question 1: ARIES ..... [28 points]**

RusTub uses ARIES recovery with fuzzy checkpoints. It also has a background thread that may arbitrarily flush a dirty bufferpool page to disk at any time.

For this question, assume objects X, Y, Z reside in three different pages X, Y, Z, respectively.

LSN	WAL Record
1	<T1, BEGIN>
2	<T2, BEGIN>
3	<T3, BEGIN>
4	<T1, UPDATE, prev=1, X, 5→15>
5	<T3, UPDATE, prev=3, Z, 700→800>
6	<T3, COMMIT, prev=5>
7	<CHECKPOINT BEGIN>
8	<T2, UPDATE, prev=2, Y, 30→50>
9	<T1, UPDATE, prev=4, Z, 800→900>
10	<CHECKPOINT END, ATT={T1, T2, T3}, DPT={Z}>
11	<T2, UPDATE, prev=8, Y, 50→60>
12	<T3, TXN-END>
13	<CHECKPOINT BEGIN>
14	<T1, COMMIT, prev=9>
15	<CHECKPOINT END, ATT={T1, T2}, DPT={?}>
16	<T2, UPDATE, prev=11, X, 15→25>
17	<T2, UPDATE, prev=16, Y, 60→70>
18	<T2, ABORT, prev=19>
19	<T2, CLR, prev=18, Y, 70→60, undoNext=16>

Figure 1: WAL

- (a) Suppose the system crashes and, when it recovers, the WAL contains the first 10 records (up to <CHECKPOINT END, ATT={T1, T2, T3}, DPT={Z}>). Of the object states below, which states are possibly stored on disk before recovery starts? Select all that apply.
- [4 points]**  X=5    X=15    X=25    X=35    Cannot be determined
  - [4 points]**  Y=30    Y=50    Y=60    Cannot be determined
  - [4 points]**  Z=700    Z=800    Z=900    Cannot be determined
- (b) **[4 points]** Select all possible values of DPT in record 15.
- X    Y    Z    X, Y    X, Z    Y, Z    X, Y, Z    Empty
- (c) **[4 points]** For the next 3 questions, assume that the database restarts and finds all log records up to LSN 19 in the WAL. Also assume the DPT is {Z (recLSN=5)} at LSN 15. According to the ARIES recovery protocol, which **log records** need to be redone during the **redo phase** of recovery? Select all that apply.
- [LSN 4] <T1, UPDATE, X, 5→15>    [LSN 5] <T3, UPDATE, Z, 700→800>  
 [LSN 8] <T2, UPDATE, Y, 30→50>    [LSN 9] <T1, UPDATE, Z, 800→900>  
 [LSN 11] <T2, UPDATE, Y, 50→60>    [LSN 16] <T2, UPDATE, X, 15→25>  
 [LSN 17] <T2, UPDATE, Y, 60→70>    [LSN 19] <T2, CLR, Y, 70→60>

- (d) **[4 points]** Select all transactions that should be undone during recovery.  
 T1    T2    T3    None of them
- (e) **[4 points]** How many new CLR records will be appended to the WAL after the database fully recovers?  
 0    1    2    3    4    5    6

**Question 2: Two-Phase Commit..... [24 points]**

Consider a distributed transaction  $T$  operating under the two-phase commit protocol with the *early acknowledgement optimization*. Let  $N_0$  be the *coordinator* node, and  $N_1, N_2, N_3$  be the *participant* nodes. Let the  $C$  be the client application issuing the transaction request. Assume that the client communicates directly with the coordinator node. Assume that the coordinator will treat a node that has failed to respond after *three* “Phase1:PREPARE” as dead.

The following messages have been sent:

time	message
1	$C$ to $N_0$ : “REQUEST:COMMIT”
2	$N_0$ to $N_1$ : “Phase1:PREPARE”
3	$N_0$ to $N_3$ : “Phase1:PREPARE”
4	$N_0$ to $N_2$ : “Phase1:PREPARE”
5	$N_3$ to $N_0$ : “OK”
6	$N_0$ to $N_1$ : “Phase1:PREPARE”
7	$N_1$ to $N_0$ : “OK”
8	$N_0$ to $N_2$ : “Phase1:PREPARE”
9	$N_0$ to $N_2$ : “Phase1:PREPARE”

Figure 2: Two-Phase Commit messages for transaction  $T$

- (a) [6 points] Who should send message(s) next at time 10 in Figure 2? Select *all* the possible answers.
- $C$
  - $N_0$
  - $N_1$
  - $N_2$
  - $N_3$
  - It is not possible to determine
- (b) [6 points] Assume  $N_2$  responds “OK” at time 10, who does  $N_0$  send messages to at time 11? Select *all* the possible answers.
- $C$
  - $N_0$
  - $N_1$
  - $N_2$
  - $N_3$
  - It is not possible to determine
- (c) [6 points] Suppose that  $N_0$  decides to abort the transaction at time 10 in Figure 2. What should happen under the two-phase commit protocol in this scenario? Select *all* that applies.
- $N_0$  resends “Phase1:PREPARE” to all of the participant nodes
  - $N_0$  sends “ABORT” to only  $N_1$  and  $N_3$
  - $N_0$  sends “ABORT” to the client

- $N_0$  sends “**ABORT**” to all of the participant nodes
  - $N_0$  resends “**Phase1:PREPARE**” to  $N_2$
  - $N_1$  sends “**ABORT**” to  $N_0$
  - It is not possible to determine
- (d) **[6 points]** Again, suppose that  $N_0$  decides to abort the transaction at time 10, but  $N_1$  crashes before any further messages are delivered. What would  $N_1$  decide about the status of the transaction  $T$  when it comes back on-line?
- $T$ 's status is *committed*
  - $T$ 's status is *aborted*
  - It is not possible to determine

**Question 3: Distributed Query Plan ..... [18 points]**

The CMU-DB team is optimizing distributed databases for aggregations and joins in their new project, AutoDist. This project aims to enable efficient computation of distributed joins followed by aggregations.

Given the following schema:

```
CREATE TABLE artist(PRIMARY KEY a_id INT, a_name VARCHAR,
                    a_nation INT);
CREATE TABLE release(PRIMARY KEY r_id INT, r_name VARCHAR,
                    r_artist INT, r_year INT, r_qty INT);
CREATE TABLE nation(PRIMARY KEY n_id INT, n_name VARCHAR);
```

AutoDist partitions these tables across nodes based on the partition key. Consider the following query:

```
SELECT nation.n_name, SUM(release.r_qty)
FROM artist
JOIN release ON artist.a_id = release.r_artist
JOIN nation ON artist.a_nation = nation.n_id
WHERE release.r_year = 2025
GROUP BY nation.n_name;
```

You can make the following assumptions:

1. There are 8 nodes in the system. Each node can store up to 10,000 rows.
  2. The artist table contains 20,000 rows.
  3. The release table contains 40,000 rows, of which 8,000 are released in year 2025.
  4. The nation table contains 100 rows.
- (a) **[5 points]** Which data distribution strategy minimizes the total network data transfer for the given query? Assume that if we choose to partition a table, its rows are distributed evenly across the nodes.
- Partition artist table by a\_id, release table by r\_id, and nation table by n\_id.
  - Partition artist table by a\_id, release table by r\_artist, and nation table by n\_id.
  - Partition artist table by a\_id and release table by r\_id, and replicate nation table across all nodes.
  - Partition artist table by a\_id and release table by r\_artist, and replicate nation table across all nodes.
  - Partition release table by r\_id, and replicate artist and nation tables across all nodes.

- (b) **[5 points]** Assuming the selected strategy from question (a) is implemented, what is the estimated total data transferred over the network for the given query? Assume that *only* the central node can perform aggregations.
- Less than 5,000 rows
  - Between 5,001 to 25,000 rows
  - Between 25,001 to 100,000 rows
  - More than 100,000 rows
- (c) **[5 points]** If AutoDist introduces a feature that allows each node to compute a partial aggregation before sending it to the central node for final aggregation, what is the new estimated total network data transfer?
- Less than 5,000 rows
  - Between 5,001 to 25,000 rows
  - Between 25,001 to 100,000 rows
  - More than 100,000 rows
- (d) **[3 points]** What are the primary drawbacks of implementing a feature that allows for intermediate aggregation results to be computed on each node before sending these results to a central node for final aggregation? Consider the impact on system resources. Select *all* that apply.
- It increases the computational load on each node.
  - It increases the memory usage on each node for storing the intermediate results.
  - It increases the total disk usage for storing the persistent tables.
  - It increases the amount of data transferred over the network.
  - It decreases the overall system performance.

**Question 4: Miscellaneous ..... [30 points]**

- (a) **[3 points]** A distributed DBMS can immediately commit a transaction under network partitioning without any loss of data consistency.
- True
  - False
- (b) **[3 points]** ARIES employs two passes of the log during the recovery process to handle both redo and undo operations.
- True
  - False
- (c) **[3 points]** The CAP theorem implies that a distributed system cannot simultaneously guarantee consistency, availability, and partition tolerance.
- True
  - False
- (d) **[3 points]** In ARIES, only transactions that commit will have an associated “TXN-END” record in the log.
- True
  - False
- (e) **[3 points]** In the context of distributed DBMS, data replication increases availability but can lead to challenges in maintaining data consistency across nodes.
- True
  - False
- (f) **[3 points]** Both PAXOS and Two-Phase Commit protocols can be used to implement distributed transactions.
- True
  - False
- (g) **[3 points]** In reference to recovery algorithms that use a write-ahead log (WAL). Under NO-STEAL + FORCE policy, a DBMS will have to undo the changes of an aborted transaction during recovery.
- True
  - False



- 
- (h) **[3 points]** Fuzzy checkpoints need to block the execution of all transactions while a consistent snapshot is written to disk.
- True
  - False
- (i) **[3 points]** With consistent hashing, if a node fails, then only a subset and not all keys will be reshuffled among the remaining nodes.
- True
  - False
- (j) **[3 points]** In a system with strong consistency requirements, it is best for the DBMS to implement active-passive replication with synchronous replication and continuous log streaming.
- True
  - False