

CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY  
COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT  
15-445/645 – DATABASE SYSTEMS (SPRING 2026)  
PROF. ANDY PAVLO AND JIGNESH PATEL

Homework #2 (by Saransh)  
Due: **Sunday Feb 8, 2026 @ 11:59pm**

**IMPORTANT:**

- Enter all of your answers into **Gradescope by 11:59pm on Sunday Feb 8, 2026.**
- **Plagiarism:** Homework may be discussed with other students, but all homework is to be completed **individually**.

For your information:

- Graded out of **100** points; **3** questions total
- Rough time estimate:  $\approx$ 4-6 hours (1-1.5 hours for each question)

*Revision : 2026/01/28 10:44*

Question	Points	Score
Slotted Pages and Log-Structured	30	
Storage Models	35	
Database Compression	35	
Total:	100	

**Question 1: Slotted Pages and Log-Structured ..... [30 points]**

- (a) **[10 points]** Which of the following statements are true for database systems using *log-structured storage*? Select all that apply.
- ☐ Log structured storage can cause write amplification
  - ☐ Log structured storage requires the DBMS to check previous records on update operations
  - ☐ Log structured storage can cause fragmentation
  - ☐ In leveled compaction, SSTables within a level (except Level 0) are non-overlapping on key ranges
  - ☐ None of the above
- (b) **[10 points]** Which of the following statements are true for database systems using *slotted-page storage*? Select all that apply.
- ☐ Slotted-page storage can cause write amplification
  - ☐ Applications should treat RIDs in slotted-page storage systems as stable identifiers and may rely on them
  - ☐ Slotted-page storage can increase random reads and writes
  - ☐ Slotted-page storage does not allow variable length tuples
  - ☐ None of the above
- (c) **[10 points]** You are asked to compare *log-structured storage* to *slotted-page storage* for a new system. Ignore any indexes and overhead from metadata. Select all true statements.
- ☐ Both storage systems update tuples in-place to avoid extra I/O
  - ☐ For an append-only workload, both achieve comparable performance
  - ☐ Slotted-page requires storing schema metadata with the tuple, while log-storage does not
  - ☐ Both designs may require background maintenance to reclaim space after numerous inserts/updates/deletes
  - ☐ Log-structured storage requires less disk space than slotted-page storage
  - ☐ None of the above are true

**Question 2: Storage Models.....[35 points]**

Consider a database with a single table  $G(\text{game\_id}, \text{dev\_id}, \text{total\_sales}, \text{player\_count})$ , where  $\text{game\_id}$  is the *primary key*, and all attributes are the same fixed width. Suppose  $G$  has 10,000 tuples that fit into 400 pages. You should ignore any additional storage overhead for the table (e.g., page headers, tuple headers). Additionally, you should make the following assumptions:

- The DBMS does *not* have any additional meta-data.
- $G$  does *not* have any indexes (including for primary key  $\text{game\_id}$ ).
- None of  $G$ 's pages are already in memory. The DBMS can store an infinite number of pages in memory.
- Content-wise, the tuples of  $G$  will always make each query run the longest possible and do the most page accesses. Always consider what the worst-case *content/values* for each column can be.
- Order-wise, the tuples of  $G$  can be in any order. Keep this in mind when computing *minimum* versus *maximum* number of pages that the DBMS will potentially have to read. Think of all possible orderings, but always for the worst-case content of data

(a) Consider the following query:

```
SELECT MAX(player_count) FROM G
WHERE dev_id = 15445 ;
```

- i. **[5 points]** Suppose the DBMS uses the N-ary storage model (NSM). How many pages will the DBMS potentially have to read from disk to answer this query?  
*Be sure to keep in mind the assumption about the contents of  $G$ .*  
☐ 1-100   ☐ 101-200   ☐ 201-300   ☐ 301-400   ☐ > 400   ☐ Not possible to determine
- ii. **[5 points]** Suppose the DBMS uses the decomposition storage model (DSM) with implicit offsets. How many pages will the DBMS potentially have to read from disk to answer this query?  
*Be sure to keep in mind the assumption about the contents of  $G$ .*  
☐ 1-100   ☐ 101-200   ☐ 201-300   ☐ 301-400   ☐ > 400   ☐ Not possible to determine

(b) Now consider the following query:

```
SELECT player_count, dev_id FROM G
WHERE game_id = 1 OR game_id = 999
```

i. Suppose the DBMS uses the N-ary storage model (NSM).

$\alpha$ ) [5 points] What is the *minimum* number of pages that the DBMS will potentially have to read from disk to answer this query?

- ☐ 1    ☐ 2-9    ☐ 10-100    ☐ 101-200    ☐ 201-300    ☐ 301-400  
☐ > 400    ☐ Not possible to determine

$\beta$ ) [5 points] What is the *maximum* number of pages that the DBMS will potentially have to read from disk to answer this query?

- ☐ 1    ☐ 2-9    ☐ 10-100    ☐ 101-200    ☐ 201-300    ☐ 301-400  
☐ > 400    ☐ Not possible to determine

ii. Suppose the DBMS uses the decomposition storage model (DSM) with implicit offsets.

$\alpha$ ) [5 points] What is the *minimum* number of pages that the DBMS will potentially have to read from disk to answer this query?

- ☐ 1    ☐ 2-9    ☐ 10-100    ☐ 101-200    ☐ 201-300    ☐ 301-400  
☐ > 400    ☐ Not possible to determine

$\beta$ ) [5 points] What is the *maximum* number of pages that the DBMS will potentially have to read from disk to answer this query?

- ☐ 1    ☐ 2-9    ☐ 10-100    ☐ 101-200    ☐ 201-300    ☐ 301-400  
☐ > 400    ☐ Not possible to determine

(c) Finally consider the following query:

```
SELECT MIN(game_id) FROM G
WHERE player_count = (SELECT MIN(player_count) FROM G);
```

Suppose the DBMS uses the decomposition storage model (DSM) with implicit offsets.

i. [5 points] What is the *minimum* number of pages that the DBMS will potentially have to **read from disk** to answer this query?

- ☐ 1    ☐ 2-9    ☐ 10-100    ☐ 101-200    ☐ 201-300    ☐ 301-400    ☐ > 400  
☐ Not possible to determine

**Question 3: Database Compression.....[35 points]**

- (a) [5 points] Suppose that the DBMS has a VARCHAR column storing the following values:

[Gates-Hillman Complex, Porter Hall, Doherty Hall, Wean Hall, Hunt Library]

Which of the following are valid encodings (uint32) for this column under dictionary compression as discussed in lecture that will support both point queries and range queries? Select **all** the valid encodings.

- ☐ [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
- ☐ [2, 4, 1, 5, 3]
- ☐ [0, 12, 32, 33, 31]
- ☐ [50, 40, 20, 10, 30]
- ☐ [10, 34, 8, 67, 17]
- ☐ [49, 9, 29, 19, 39]

- (b) [15 points] Suppose the DBMS wants to compress a table R(a) using columnar compression. Which of the following compression schemes **will benefit** (when considering space efficiency) from sorting the table before compressing column a? Select **all** that apply.

*Hint: "Benefit" means that the efficacy of the compression scheme may improve on sorted data. You should not make any assumptions about the column type or its distribution of values.*

- ☐ Bit-packing Encoding
- ☐ Run-length Encoding
- ☐ Mostly Encoding
- ☐ Bitmap Encoding
- ☐ Dictionary Encoding
- ☐ Delta Encoding
- ☐ None of the above will benefit.

- (c) [15 points] A colleague approaches with a list of true and false statements about run-length encoding, delta encoding, bitmap encoding, and dictionary encoding. The colleague wants your assistance in identifying the true statements. Select **all** that apply.

- ☐ Run-length Encoding is effective for compressing a low cardinality integer column.
- ☐ Delta Encoding is good at compressing large text values.
- ☐ For *point lookup-only* workload, order-preserving dictionary encoding is required.
- ☐ If dictionary codes are order-preserving and you insert a new distinct value that falls between two existing values, you may need to reassign codes.
- ☐ Run Length Encoding is most effective on unsorted uniformly random data.
- ☐ None of the above.